arXiv:1403.0619v1 [math.FA] 3 Mar 2014

VON NEUMANN INDICES AND CLASSES OF POSITIVE DEFINITE FUNCTIONS

PALLE JORGENSEN AND FENG TIAN

Abstract. With view to applications, we establish a correspondence between two problems: (i) the problem of finding continuous positive definite extensions of functions F which are defined on open bounded domains Ω in $\mathbb{R}$, on the one hand; and (ii) spectral theory for elliptic differential operators acting on Ω, (constant coefficients.) A novelty in our approach is the use of a reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_F$ computed directly from (Ω, F), as well as algorithms for computing relevant orthonormal bases in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. The Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space $\mathscr{H}_F$ 3
3. Type I v.s. Type II Extensions 11
4. Mercer Operators 12
5. The Case of $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$ 13
5.1. The Selfadjoint Extensions $A_\theta \supset -iD_F$ 13
5.2. The Operator T_F 16
5.3. The Spectra of the s.a. extensions $A_\theta \supset -iD_F$ 24
5.4. Examples of Type 2 Extensions 31
5.5. The Unitary Groups 32
5.6. Harmonic ONBs in the RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$: Complex Exponentials 35
6. Elliptic Positive Definite Functions F 35
6.1. A characterization of $\mathscr{H}_F$ for elliptic F in terms of the first Sobolev space of $(0, a)$ 35
6.2. If F is elliptic then the operator D_F has indices $(1, 1)$ 37
6.3. If F is elliptic then its distribution derivative has a Dirac discontinuity at $x = 0$ 38
6.4. If F is elliptic then there is an associated system of linear conditions for the kernel functions for $\mathscr{H}_F$ at the two endpoints 0 and a 38
6.5. Two examples $F = e^{-|x|}$, and $F = 1 - |x|$, on the respective intervals, are elliptic 38
6.6. Translation representation for the unitary one-parameter groups $U(t)$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$ 38

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47L60, 46N30, 46N50, 42C15, 65R10; Secondary 46N20, 22E70, 31A15, 58J65, 81S25.

Key words and phrases. Unbounded operators, deficiency-indices, Hilbert space, reproducing kernels, boundary values, unitary one-parameter group, convex, harmonic decompositions, stochastic processes, potentials, quantum measurement, renormalization, partial differential operators, Volterra operator, rank-one perturbation, elliptic differential operator, Greens function, generating function.

7. Extension from finite or countably infinite subsets 43
8. Some ONBs in $\mathscr{H}_F$ 45
Appendix 51
References 53

1. Introduction

From the postulates of quantum physics, we know that measurements of observables are computed from associated selfadjoint operators—observables. From the corresponding spectral resolutions, we get probability measures, and of course uncertainty. There are many philosophical issues (which we bypass here), and we do not yet fully understand quantum reality. See for example, [Sla03, CJK+12].

The axioms are as follows: An observable is a Hermitian (self-adjoint) linear operator mapping a Hilbert space, the space of states, into itself. The values obtained in a physical measurement are, in general, described by a probability distribution; and the distribution represents a suitable "average" (or "expectation") in a measurement of values of some quantum observable in a state of some prepared system. The states are (up to phase) unit vectors in the Hilbert space, and a measurement corresponds to a probability distribution (derived from a projection-valued spectral measure). The spectral type may be continuous (such as position and momentum) or discrete (such as spin). Information about the measures μ are computed with the use of *generating functions* (on $\mathbb{R}$), i.e., spectral (Bochner/Fourier) transforms of the corresponding measure. Generating functions are positive definite continuous functions $F\,(=F_\mu)$ on $\mathbb{R}$. One then tries to recover μ from information about F. In our paper we explore the cases when information about $F\,(x)$ is only available for x in a bounded interval.

We prove a number of results for positive definite (p.d.) continuous functions F defined only locally, i.e., defined on some fixed bounded connected subset in $\mathbb{R}^d$. We show that such partially defined positive definite functions have a number of intriguing features, apart from the properties they inherit from possibly being restrictions of continuous positive definite functions defined on all of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Our emphasis will be on explicit formulas, which is why we specialize to the case $d = 1$, and the case when F is defined initially on a symmetric interval $(-a, a)$. (In this case, F automatically has positive definite continuous extensions to all of the real line $\mathbb{R}$.) Our applications include boundary value problems, differential equations, positive definite integral operators, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, orthogonal bases, interpolation, spectral theory, and harmonic analysis.

In probability theory, normalized continuous positive definite functions F, i.e., $F(0) = 1$, arise as *generating functions* for probability measures, and one passes from information about one to the other; – from generating function to probability measure is called "the inverse problem", see e.g., [DM85]. Hence the study of partially defined p.d. functions addresses the inverse question: ambiguity of measures when only partial information for a possible generating function is available.

The study of locally defined positive definite (p.d.) continuous functions F is important in pure and applied mathematics, and it entails both analysis and geometry. The study of domains in $\mathbb{R}^d$, for $d > 1$, and domains in Lie groups combine the geometric and analytic issues, see e.g., [Jor90, Jor91, JPT14]. In

this paper, we wish to stress such analytic questions as spectral theory, stochastic analysis [Itô06], reproducing kernels $\mathscr{H}_F$, explicit orthonormal bases in $\mathscr{H}_F$, and boundary-value problems. For this reason, we have restricted here the setting to domains in $\mathbb{R}$, so one dimension, $d = 1$. And we have narrowed our focus on specific cases. Even in this more narrow setting, the applications include scattering theory (in physics) [OH13], sampling theory (in engineering) [MMJ12, ADK13], and statistical learning theory, see e.g., [SZ05, SZ07].

Another important difference between the cases $d = 1$, and $d > 1$, is that for $d = 1$, every positive definite continuous function defined on an interval, has continuous p.d. extensions to $\mathbb{R}$. The analogous result is known to be false when $d > 1$; see [Rud70].

2. The Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space $\mathscr{H}_F$

Associated to a pair (Ω, F), where F is a prescribed continuous positive definite function defined on Ω, we outline a reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_F$ which will serve as a key tool in our analysis. The particular RKHSs we need here will have additional properties (as compared to a general framework); which allow us to give explicit formulas for our solutions.

In a general setup, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces were pioneered by Aronszajn in the 1950s [Aro50]; and subsequently they have been used in a host of applications; e.g., [SZ09, SZ07].

As for positive definite functions, their use and applications are extensive and includes such areas as stochastic processes, see e.g., [JP13, AJSV13, JP12, AJ12]; harmonic analysis (see [JÓ00]) , and the references there); potential theory [Fug74, KL14]; operators in Hilbert space [Alp92, AD86]; and spectral theory [AH13, Nus75, Dev72, Dev59]. We stress that the literature is vast, and the above list is only a small sample.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a Lie group. Fix $\Omega \subset G$, non-empty, open and connected. A continuous function

$$F : \Omega^{-1} \cdot \Omega \to \mathbb{C} \tag{2.1}$$

is *positive definite* (p.d.) if

$$\sum_i \sum_j \overline{c_i} c_j F\left(x_i^{-1} x_j\right) \geq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

for all finite systems $\{c_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, and points $\{x_i\} \subset \Omega$.

Equivalently,

$$\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \overline{\varphi(x)} \varphi(y) F\left(x^{-1} y\right) dx dy \geq 0, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c(\Omega)$; where dx denotes a choice of left-invariant Haar measure on G.

For simplicity we focus on the case $G = \mathbb{R}$, indicating the changes needed for general Lie groups.

Definition 2.2. Fix $0 < a < \infty$, set $\Omega := (0, a)$. Let $F : \Omega - \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous p.d. function. The *reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS)*, $\mathscr{H}_F$, is the completion of

$$\sum_{\text{finite}} c_j F(\cdot - x_j) : c_j \in \mathbb{C} \tag{2.4}$$

with respect to the inner product

$$\langle F(\cdot - x), F(\cdot - y)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = F(x-y),\ \forall x, y \in \Omega, \text{ and}$$

$$\Big\langle \sum_i c_i F(\cdot - x_i), \sum_j c_j F(\cdot - x_j) \Big\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \sum_i \sum_j \overline{c_i} c_j F(x_i - x_j), \tag{2.5}$$

Remark 2.3. Throughout, we use the convention that the inner product is conjugate linear in the first variable, and linear in the second variable. When more than one inner product is used, subscripts will make reference to the Hilbert space.

Notation. Inner product and norms will be denoted $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, and $\|\cdot\|$ respectively. Often more than one inner product is involved, and subscripts are used for identification.

Lemma 2.4. *The reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS), $\mathscr{H}_F$, is the Hilbert completion of the functions*

$$F_\varphi(x) = \int_\Omega \varphi(y) F(x-y)\, dy,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), x \in \Omega \tag{2.6}$$

with respect to the inner product

$$\langle F_\varphi, F_\psi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \overline{\varphi(x)}\psi(y) F(x-y)\, dxdy,\ \forall \varphi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega). \tag{2.7}$$

In particular,

$$\|F_\varphi\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \overline{\varphi(x)}\varphi(y) F(x-y)\, dxdy,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega) \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\langle F_\varphi, F_\psi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \int_\Omega \overline{\varphi(x)} F_\psi(x)\, dx,\ \forall \phi, \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega). \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. Apply standard approximation, see lemma 2.5 below. □

Among the cases of partially defined positive definite functions, the following example $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, in the symmetric interval $(-1, 1)$, will play a special role.

There are many reasons for this:

(i) It is of independent interest, and its type 1 extensions (see section 3) can be written down explicitly.

(ii) Its applications include stochastic analysis [Itô06] as follows. Given a random variable X in a process; if μ is its distribution, then there are two measures of concentration for μ, one called "degree of concentration," and the other "dispersion," both computed directly from $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$ applied to μ, see 2.1 Application, below.

(iii) In addition, there are analogous relative notions for comparing different samples in a fixed stochastic process. These notions are defined with the use of example $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, and it will frequently be useful to localize the x-variable in a compact interval.

(iv) Additional reasons for special attention to example $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, for $x \in (-1, 1)$ is its use in sampling theory, and analysis of de Branges spaces [DM85], as well as its role as a Greens function for an important boundary value problem.

(v) Related to this, the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_F$ associated to this p.d. function F has a number of properties that also hold for wider families of locally defined positive definite function of a single variable. In particular, $\mathscr{H}_F$ has Fourier bases: The RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$ has orthogonal bases of complex exponentials e_λ with aperiodic frequency distributions, i.e., frequency points $\{e_\lambda\}$ on the real line which do not lie on any arithmetic progression, see Fig 5.5. For details on this last point, see Corollaries 5.25, 5.26, 5.28, and 5.32.

The remaining of this section is devoted to a number of technical lemmas which will be used throughout the paper. Given a locally defined continuous positive definite function F, the issues addressed below are: approximation (lemma 2.5), a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) $\mathscr{H}_F$ built from F, an integral transform, and a certain derivative operator $D^{(F)}$, generally unbounded in the RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$. We will be concerned with boundary value problems for $D^{(F)}$, and in order to produce suitable orthonormal bases in $\mathscr{H}_F$, we be concerned with an explicit family of skew-adjoint extensions of $D^{(F)}$, as well as the associated spectra, see Corollaries 2.17 and 2.18.

Lemma 2.5. *Let φ be a function s.t.*

(1) $\operatorname{supp}(\varphi) \subset (0,a)$;
(2) $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a)$, $\varphi \geq 0$;
(3) $\int_0^a \varphi(t)\,dt = 1$.

Fix $x \in (0,a)$, and set $\varphi_{n,x}(t) := n\varphi(n(t-x))$. Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi_{n,x} = \delta_x$, i.e., the Dirac measure at x; and

$$\left\| F_{\varphi_{n,x}} - F(\cdot - x) \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{2.10}$$

Hence $\{F_\varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a)\}$ spans a dense subspace in $\mathscr{H}_F$. See figure 2.1.



FIGURE 2.1. The approximate identity $\varphi_{n,x}$

Recall, the following facts about $\mathscr{H}_F$, which follow from the general theory [Aro50] of RKHS:

- $F(0) > 0$, so we can always arrange $F(0) = 1$.
- $F(-x) = \overline{F(x)}$
- $\mathscr{H}_F$ consists of continuous functions $\xi : \Omega - \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$.
- The reproducing property:

$$\langle F(\cdot - x), \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \xi(x), \ \forall \xi \in \mathscr{H}_F, \forall x \in \Omega,$$

  is a direct consequence of (2.5).

Remark 2.6. It follows from the reproducing property that if $F_{\phi_n} \to \xi$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$, then F_{ϕ_n} converges uniformly to ξ in Ω. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} |F_{\phi_n}(x) - \xi(x)| &= \left| \langle F(\cdot - x), F_{\phi_n} - \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} \right| \\ &\leq \|F(\cdot - x)\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \|F_{\phi_n} - \xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \\ &= F(0) \|F_{\phi_n} - \xi\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous and p.d. function, and let $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the corresponding RKHS. Then:*

(1) the integral $F_\varphi := \int_0^a \varphi(y) F(\cdot - y)\, dy$ is convergent in $\mathscr{H}_F$ for all $\varphi \in C_c(0, a)$; and

(2) for all $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_F$, we have:

$$\langle F_\varphi, \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)} \xi(x)\, dx. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. For simplicity, we assume the following normalization $F(0) = 1$; then for all $y_1, y_2 \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\|F(\cdot - y_1) - F(\cdot - y_2)\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 2(1 - \Re\{F(y_1 - y_2)\}). \tag{2.12}$$

Now, view the integral in (1) as a $\mathscr{H}_F$-vector valued integral. If $\varphi \in C_c(0, a)$, this integral $\int_0^a \varphi(y) F(\cdot - y)\, dy$ is the $\mathscr{H}_F$-norm convergent. Since $\mathscr{H}_F$ is a RKHS, $\langle \cdot, \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}$ is continuous on $\mathscr{H}_F$, and it passes under the integral in (1). Using

$$\langle F(y - \cdot), \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \xi(y) \tag{2.13}$$

the desired conclusion (2.11) follows. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be as above, and let $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the corresponding RKHS. For $\varphi \in C_c^1(0, a)$, set*

$$F_\varphi(x) = (T_F \varphi)(x) = \int_0^a \varphi(y) F(x - y)\, dy; \tag{2.14}$$

then $F_\varphi \in C^1(0, a)$, and

$$\frac{d}{dx} F_\varphi(x) = (T_F(\varphi'))(x), \ \forall x \in (0, a). \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. Since $F_\varphi(x) = \int_0^a \varphi(y) F(x - y)\, dy$, $x \in (0, a)$; the desired assertion (2.15) follows directly from the arguments in the proof of lemma 2.7. □

Theorem 2.9. *Fix $0 < a < \infty$. A continuous function $\xi : (0, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ is in $\mathscr{H}_F$ if and only if there exists a finite constant $A > 0$, such that*

$$\sum_i \sum_j \overline{c_i} c_j \overline{\xi(x_i)} \xi(x_j) \leq A \sum_i \sum_j \overline{c_i} c_j F(x_i - x_j) \tag{2.16}$$

for all finite system $\{c_i\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\{x_i\} \subset (0, a)$. Equivalently, for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$,

$$\left| \int_0^a \varphi(y) \xi(y)\, dy \right|^2 \leq A \int_0^a \int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)} \varphi(y) F(x - y)\, dx dy \tag{2.17}$$

We will use these two conditions (2.16)(⇔(2.17)) when considering for example the von Neumann deficiency-subspaces for skew Hermitian operators with dense domain in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Proof of theorem 2.9. Note, if $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_F$, the LHS in (2.17) is $\left|\langle F_\varphi, \xi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\right|^2$, and so (2.17) holds, since $\langle \cdot, \xi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}$ is continuous on $\mathscr{H}_F$.

If ξ is continuous on $[0,a]$, and if (2.17) holds, then

$$\mathscr{H}_F \ni F_\varphi \longmapsto \int_0^a \varphi(y)\,\xi(y)\,dy$$

is well-defined, continuous, linear; and extends to $\mathscr{H}_F$ by density (see lemma 2.5). Hence, by Riesz's theorem, $\exists!\ k_\xi \in \mathscr{H}_F$ s.t.

$$\int_0^a \varphi(y)\,\xi(y)\,dy = \langle F_\varphi, k_\xi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}.$$

But using the reproducing property in $\mathscr{H}_F$, and $F_\varphi(x) = \int_0^a \varphi(x)\,F(x-y)\,dy$, we get

$$\int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}\xi(x)\,dx = \int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}k_\xi(x)\,dx,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c(0,a)$$

so

$$\int_0^a \varphi(x)\,(\xi(x) - k_\xi(x))\,dx = 0,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c(0,a);$$

it follows that $\xi - k_\xi = 0$ on $(0,a) \Longrightarrow \xi - k_x = 0$ on $[0,a]$. □

Corollary 2.10. *Let $F : (-a,a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be positive definite and continuous, and let $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the RKHS introduced in lemma 2.7. Let μ be a complex measure of bounded variation defined on the Borel sigma-algebra of subsets in $[0,a]$, then*

$$F_\mu(x) = \int_0^a F(x-y)\,d\mu(y) \tag{2.18}$$

is a well-defined continuous function on $[0,a]$, and $F_\mu \in \mathscr{H}_F$.

Remark 2.11. For the converse of the above result, i.e., whether every $h \in \mathscr{H}_F$ takes the form $h = F_\mu$ in (2.18), we consider the special case where $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$. See corollary 5.41 for details.

Proof. Let F and μ be as in the statement of the corollary. Then by the Jordan-decomposition of μ there are finite positive measures μ_i, $i = 1,2,3,4$ such that

$$\mu = \mu_1 - \mu_2 + i(\mu_3 - \mu_4). \tag{2.19}$$

As a result, we can assume in the proof that μ in (2.18) is positive and finite.

Since F has a unique continuous and bounded extension to $[-a,a]$, note that then $F(x-\cdot) \in L^1(\mu)$ for all $x \in (0,a)$. Since translation in $L^1(\mu)$ is continuous, it follows that $F_\mu(\cdot)$ in (2.18) is continuous in x. (We used that μ is positive here.)

Now for μ, ν as in the corollary set

$$\langle F_\mu, F_\nu\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \int_0^a \int_0^a F(x-y)\,d\mu(x)\,d\nu(y). \tag{2.20}$$

By the Schwarz-inequality, we have

$$\left|\langle F_\mu, F_\nu\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\right|^2 \leq \langle F_\mu, F_\mu\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\langle F_\nu, F_\nu\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}. \tag{2.21}$$

Applying this to $d\nu = \varphi(x)\,dx$, $\varphi \in C_c(0,a)$ we get (an application of (2.21)):

$$\left|\int_0^a \overline{F_\mu(x)}\varphi(x)\,dx\right|^2 \leq \|F_\mu\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}\int_0^a\int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}\varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dxdy \tag{2.22}$$

where we have

$$\int_0^a \overline{F_\mu(x)}\varphi(x)\,dx = \langle F_\mu, F_\varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} \tag{2.23}$$

from an application of lemma 2.5.

Now set $\xi(x) := F_\mu(x)$ for $x \in [0,a]$ where F_μ is defined in (2.18), and is continuous in x. In the *a priori* estimate (2.22), we verified the property (2.17) in theorem 2.9; and the conclusion in theorem 2.9 then yields $\xi = F_\mu \in \mathscr{H}_F$ as claimed in the corollary. □

Application 2.1. In Ito calculus ([Itô06]) the corollary is used for the case where $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$; or the restriction of F to $-1 < x < 1$.

If μ is a probability measure on an interval $J \subset \mathbb{R}$, Ito defines

$$q(\mu) := \int_J \int_J e^{-|x-y|} d\mu(x)\, d\mu(y), \text{ and}$$

it is called the ***degree of concentration***. The number

$$\delta(\mu) := -\log q(\mu)$$

is called the ***dispersion*** of μ.

Note the following:

$$q(\mu) = 1 \Longleftrightarrow \delta(\mu) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow [\mu = \delta_x, \text{ for some } x].$$

Definition 2.12 (The operator D_F)**.** Let $D_F(F_\varphi) = F_{\varphi'}$, for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a)$, where $\varphi' = \frac{d\varphi}{dt}$ and F_φ is as in (2.6).

Lemma 2.13. *The operator D_F defines a skew-Hermitian operator with dense domain in $\mathscr{H}_F$.*

Proof. By lemma 2.5, $dom(D_F)$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}_F$. If $\psi \in C_c^\infty(0,a)$ and $|t| < \operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{supp}(\psi), \text{endpoints})$, then

$$\left\|F_{\psi(\cdot+t)}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}^2 = \|F_\psi\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}^2 = \int_0^a \int_0^a \overline{\psi(x)}\psi(y)\, F(x-y)\, dxdy \tag{2.24}$$

see (2.8), so

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left\|F_{\psi(\cdot+t)}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}^2 = 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$\langle D_F F_\psi, F_\psi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} + \langle F_\psi, D_F F_\psi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

It follows that D_F is well-defined and skew-Hermitian in $\mathscr{H}_F$. □

Lemma 2.14. *Let F be a positive definite function on $(-a,a)$, $0 < a < \infty$ fixed. Let D_F be as in definition 2.12, so that $D_F \subset D_F^*$ (lemma 2.13), where D_F^* is the adjoint relative to the $\mathscr{H}_F$ inner product.*

Then $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_F$ (as a continuous function on $[0,a]$) is in $dom(D_F^*)$ iff

$$\xi' \in \mathscr{H}_F \text{ where } \xi' = \text{distribution derivative, and} \tag{2.26}$$

$$D_F^*\xi = -\xi' \tag{2.27}$$

Proof. By theorem 2.9, a fixed $\xi \in \mathscr{H}_F$, i.e., $x \mapsto \xi(x)$ is a continuous function on $[0,a]$ s.t. $\exists C$, $\left|\int_0^a \varphi(x)\,\xi(x)\,dx\right|^2 \leq C\left\|F_\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}$.

ξ is in $dom\left(D_F^*\right) \Longleftrightarrow \exists C = C_\xi < \infty$ s.t.

$$\left|\left\langle D_F\left(F_\varphi\right),\xi\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\right|^2 \leq C\left\|F_\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = C\int_0^a\int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}\varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dxdy \tag{2.28}$$

But LHS of (2.28) under $|\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle|^2$ is:

$$\left|\left\langle D_F\left(F_\varphi\right),\xi\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\right|^2 = \left\langle F_{\varphi'},\xi\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} \overset{(2.11)}{=} \int_0^a \overline{\varphi'(x)}\xi(x)\,dx,\ \forall\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,a) \tag{2.29}$$

So (2.28) holds $\Longleftrightarrow$

$$\left|\int_0^a \overline{\varphi'(x)}\xi(x)\,dx\right|^2 \leq C\left\|F_\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F},\ \forall\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,a)$$

i.e.,

$$\left|\int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}\xi'(x)\,dx\right|^2 \leq C\left\|F_\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F},\ \forall\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,a),\ \text{and}$$

ξ' as a distribution is in $\mathscr{H}_F$, and

$$\int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}\xi'(x)\,dx = \left\langle F_\varphi,\xi'\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}$$

where we use the characterization of $\mathscr{H}_F$ in (2.17), i.e., a function $\eta:[0,a]\to\mathbb{C}$ is in $\mathscr{H}_F \Longleftrightarrow \exists C<\infty$, $\left|\int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}\eta(x)\,dx\right| \leq C\left\|F_\varphi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}$, $\forall\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,a)$, and then $\int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}\eta(x)\,dx = \left\langle F_\varphi,\eta\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}$, $\forall\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,a)$. See theorem 2.9. □

Corollary 2.15. *$h\in\mathscr{H}_F$ is in $dom\left(\left(D_F^2\right)^*\right)$ iff $h''\in\mathscr{H}_F$ (h'' distribution derivative) and $\left(D_F^*\right)^2 h = \left(D_F^2\right)^* h = h''$.*

Proof. Application of (2.29) to $D_F\left(F_\varphi\right) = F_{\varphi'}$, we have $D_F^2\left(F_\varphi\right) = F_{\varphi''} = \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2 F_\varphi$, $\forall\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,a)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle D_F^2\left(F_\varphi\right),h\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \left\langle F_{\varphi''},h\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \int_0^a \overline{\varphi''(x)}h(x)\,dx\\ &= \int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}h''(x)\,dx = \left\langle F_\varphi,\left(D_F^2\right)^* h\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.16. [DS88]Let D_F^* be the adjoint of D_F relative to $\mathscr{H}_F$ inner product. The deficiency spaces $DEF^\pm$ consists of $\xi_\pm\in dom\left(D_F^*\right)$, such that $D_F^*\xi_\pm = \pm\xi_\pm$, i.e.,

$$DEF^\pm = \left\{\xi_\pm\in\mathscr{H}_F : \left\langle F_{\psi'},\xi_\pm\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \left\langle F_\psi,\pm\xi_\pm\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F},\forall\psi\in C_c^\infty(\Omega)\right\}.$$

Corollary 2.17. *If $\xi\in DEF^\pm$ then $\xi(x) = \text{constant}\,e^{\mp x}$.*

Proof. Immediate from lemma 2.14. □

The role of deficiency indices for the canonical skew-Hermitian operator D_F (definition 2.12) in the RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$ is as follows: using von Neumann's conjugation trick [DS88], we see that the deficiency indices can be only $(0,0)$ or $(1,1)$.

We conclude that there exists proper skew-adjoint extensions $A \supset D_F$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$ (in case D_F has indices $(1,1)$). Then

$$D_F \subseteq A = -A^* \subseteq -D_F^* \tag{2.30}$$

(If the indices are $(0,0)$ then $\overline{D_F} = -D_F^*$; see [DS88].)

Hence, set $U(t) = e^{tA} : \mathscr{H}_F \to \mathscr{H}_F$, and get the strongly continuous unitary one-parameter group

$$\{U(t) : t \in \mathbb{R}\},\ U(s+t) = U(s)\,U(t),\ \forall s,t \in \mathbb{R};$$

and if

$$\xi \in dom(A) = \left\{\xi \in \mathscr{H}_F :\ \text{s.t.} \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{U(t)\,\xi - \xi}{t} \text{ exists}\right\}$$

then

$$A\xi = \text{s.t.} \lim_{t\to 0} \frac{U(t)\,\xi - \xi}{t}. \tag{2.31}$$

Now use $F_x(\cdot) = F(x - \cdot)$ defined in $(0,a)$; and set

$$F_A(t) := \langle F_0, U(t)\,F_0\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F},\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.32}$$

then using (2.10), we see that F_A is a continuous positive definite extension of F on $(-a,a)$. This extension is in $Ext_1(F)$.

Corollary 2.18. *Assume $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is in the point spectrum of A, i.e., $\exists \xi_\lambda \in dom(A)$, $\xi_\lambda \neq 0$, s.t. $A\xi_\lambda = i\lambda\xi_\lambda$ holds in $\mathscr{H}_F$, then $\xi_\lambda = const \cdot e_\lambda$, i.e.,*

$$\xi_\lambda(x) = const \cdot e^{i\lambda x},\ \forall x \in [0,a]. \tag{2.33}$$

Proof. Assume λ is in $spec_{pt}(A)$, and $\xi_\lambda \in dom(A)$ satisfying

$$(A\xi_\lambda)(x) = i\lambda\xi_\lambda(x) \text{ in } \mathscr{H}_F, \tag{2.34}$$

then since $A \subset -D_F^*$, we get $\xi \in dom(D_F^*)$ by lemma 2.14 and (2.30), and $D_F^*\xi_\lambda = -\xi_\lambda'$ where ξ' is the distribution derivative (see (2.27)); and by (2.30)

$$(A\xi_\lambda)(x) = -(D_F^*\xi_\lambda)(x) = \xi_\lambda'(x) \overset{(2.34)}{=} i\lambda\xi_\lambda(x),\ \forall x \in (0,a) \tag{2.35}$$

so ξ_λ is the distribution derivative solution to

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\lambda'(x) &= i\lambda\xi_\lambda(x) \\ &\Updownarrow \\ -\int_0^a \overline{\varphi'(x)}\xi_\lambda(x)\,dx &= i\lambda\int_0^a \overline{\varphi(x)}\xi_\lambda(x)\,dx,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a) \\ &\Updownarrow \\ -\langle D_F(F_\varphi), \xi_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= i\lambda\langle F_\varphi, \xi_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F},\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a). \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

But by Schwartz, the distribution solutions to (2.36) are $\xi_\lambda(x) = \text{const} \cdot e_\lambda(x) = \text{const} \cdot e^{i\lambda x}$. ∎

3. Type I v.s. Type II Extensions

When a pair (Ω, F) is given, where F is a prescribed continuous positive definite function defined on Ω, we consider the possible continuous positive definite extensions to all of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}_F$ will play a key role in our analysis. In constructing various classes of continuous positive definite extensions to $\mathbb{R}^n$, we introduce operators in $\mathscr{H}_F$, and their *dilation* to operators, possibly acting in an enlargement Hilbert space [JPT14, KL14]. Following techniques from dilation theory we note that every dilation contains a minimal one. If a continuous positive definite extensions to $\mathbb{R}^n$ has its minimal dilation Hilbert space equal to $\mathscr{H}_F$, we say it is type 1, otherwise we say it is type 2.

Definition 3.1. Let G be a locally compact group, and let Ω be an open connected subset of G. Let $F : \Omega^{-1} \cdot \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous positive definite function.

Consider a strongly continuous unitary representation U of G acting in some Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}$, containing the RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$. We say that $(U, \mathscr{K}) \in Ext(F)$ iff there is a vector $k_0 \in \mathscr{K}$ such that

$$F(g) = \langle k_0, U(g) k_0 \rangle_{\mathscr{K}}, \ \forall g \in \Omega^{-1} \cdot \Omega. \tag{3.1}$$

1. The subset of $Ext(F)$ consisting of $(U, \mathscr{H}_F, k_0 = F_e)$ with
$$F(g) = \langle F_e, U(g) F_e \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}, \ \forall g \in \Omega^{-1} \cdot \Omega \tag{3.2}$$
is denoted $Ext_1(F)$; and we set
$$Ext_2(F) := Ext(F) \setminus Ext_1(F);$$
i.e., $Ext_2(F)$, consists of the solutions to problem (3.1) for which $\mathscr{K} \supsetneq \mathscr{H}_F$, i.e., unitary representations realized in an enlargement Hilbert space.
(We write $F_e \in \mathscr{H}_F$ for the vector satisfying $\langle F_e, \xi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \xi(e)$, $\forall \xi \in \mathscr{H}_F$, where e is the neutral (unit) element in G, i.e., $e\, g = g$, $\forall g \in G$.)
2. In the special case, where $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and connected, we consider
$$F : \Omega - \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$$
continuous and positive definite. In this case,
$$Ext(F) = \Big\{ \mu \in \mathscr{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n) \ \Big| \ \widehat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} d\mu(\lambda) \text{ is a p.d. extensiont of } F \Big\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Remark 3.2. Note that (3.3) is consistent with (3.1): For if $(U, \mathscr{K}, k_0)$ is a unitary representation of $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, such that (3.1) holds; then, by a theorem of Stone, there is a projection-valued measure (PVM) $P_U(\cdot)$, defined on the Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ s.t.
$$U(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\lambda \cdot x} P_U(d\lambda), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.4}$$
Setting
$$d\mu(\lambda) := \|P_U(d\lambda) k_0\|^2_{\mathscr{K}}, \tag{3.5}$$
it is then immediate that we have: $\mu \in \mathscr{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and that the finite measure μ satisfies
$$\widehat{\mu}(x) = F(x), \ \forall x \in \Omega - \Omega. \tag{3.6}$$

Set $n = 1$: Start with a local p.d. continuous function F, and let $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the corresponding RKHS. Let $Ext(F)$ be the compact convex set of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ defining extensions of F.

We now divide $Ext(F)$ into two parts, say $Ext_1(F)$ and $Ext_2(F)$.

All continuous p.d. extensions of F come from strongly continuous unitary representations. So in the case of 1D, from unitary one-parameter groups of course, say $U(t)$.

Let $Ext_1(F)$ be the subset of $Ext(F)$ corresponding to extensions when the unitary representation $U(t)$ acts in $\mathscr{H}_F$ (internal extensions), and $Ext_2(F)$ denote the part of $Ext(F)$ associated to unitary representations $U(t)$ acting in a proper enlargement Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}$ (if any), i.e., acting in a Hilbert space $\mathscr{K}$ corresponding to a proper dilation of $\mathscr{H}_F$.

In section 5 below, we explore the example $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, initially defined only in the symmetric interval $(-1, 1)$; and we characterize the corresponding continuous p.d. extensions in $\mathscr{H}_F$ (i.e. type 1.) Section 5.4 is devoted to some examples of type 2 extensions.

4. Mercer Operators

In the considerations below, we shall be primarily concerned with the case when a fixed continuous p.d. function F is defined on a finite interval $(-a, a) \subset \mathbb{R}$. In this case, by a Mercer operator, we mean an operator T_F in $L^2(0, a)$ where $L^2(0, a)$ is defined from Lebesgue measure on $(0, a)$, given by

$$(T_F\varphi)(x) := \int_0^a \varphi(y) F(x - y)\, dy,\ \forall \varphi \in L^2(0, a), \forall x \in (0, a). \tag{4.1}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumptions stated above, the Mercer operator T_F is trace class in $L^2(0, a)$; and if $F(0) = 1$, then*

$$trace(T_F) = a. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. This is an application of Mercer's theorem [LP89, FR42, FM13] to the integral operator T_F in (4.1). But we must check that F, on $(-a, a)$, extends uniquely by limit to a continuous p.d. function F_{ex} on $[-a, a]$, the closed interval. This is true, and easy to verify, see e.g. [JPT14]. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let F and $(-a, a)$ be as in lemma 4.1. Then there is a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\lambda_n > 0$, s.t. $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n = a$, and a system of orthogonal functions $\{\xi_n\} \subset L^2(0, a) \cap \mathscr{H}_F$ such that*

$$F(x - y) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_n \xi_n(x) \overline{\xi_n(y)}, \quad \text{and} \tag{4.3}$$

$$\int_0^a \overline{\xi_n(x)} \xi_m(x)\, dx = \delta_{n,m},\ n, m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. An application of Mercer's theorem [LP89, FR42, FM13]. □

Corollary 4.3. *For all $\psi, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, a)$, we have*

$$\langle F_\psi, F_\varphi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \left\langle F_\psi, T_F^{-1} F_\varphi \right\rangle_2. \tag{4.5}$$

Consequently,

$$\|h\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \|T_F^{-1/2} h\|_2,\ \forall h \in \mathscr{H}_F. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. Note

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle F_\psi, T_F^{-1}F_\varphi\right\rangle_2 &= \left\langle F_\psi, T_F^{-1}T_F\varphi\right\rangle_2 = \left\langle F_\psi,\varphi\right\rangle_2\\ &= \int_0^a \overline{\left(\int_0^a \psi(x)\,F(y-x)\,dx\right)}\,\varphi(y)\,dy\\ &= \int_0^a\int_0^a \overline{\psi(x)}\varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dxdy = \left\langle F_\psi, F_\varphi\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\{\xi_n\}$ be the ONB in $L^2(0,a)$ as in corollary 4.2; then $\left\{\sqrt{\lambda_n}\xi_n\right\}$ is an ONB in $\mathscr{H}_F$.*

Proof. The functions ξ_n are in $\mathscr{H}_F$ by theorem 2.9. We check directly (corollary 4.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle\sqrt{\lambda_n}\xi_n, \sqrt{\lambda_m}\xi_m\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \sqrt{\lambda_n\lambda_m}\left\langle\xi_n, T^{-1}\xi_m\right\rangle_2\\ &= \sqrt{\lambda_n\lambda_m}\lambda_m^{-1}\left\langle\xi_n,\xi_m\right\rangle_2 = \delta_{n,m}.\end{aligned}$$

□

5. The Case of $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$

Our emphasis is von Neumann indices, and explicit formulas for partially defined positive definite functions F, defined initially only on a symmetric interval $(-a,a)$. It turns out that a number of the features we explore are common to the following example: Let $F(x) := e^{-|x|}$, defined for $|x| < 1$. The present section is devoted to this example.

5.1. The Selfadjoint Extensions $A_\theta \supset -iD_F$

The notation "$\supseteq$" above refers to containment of operators, or rather of the respective graphs of the two operators; see [DS88].

Lemma 5.1. *Let $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$. Set $F_x(y) := F(x-y)$, $\forall x, y \in (0,1)$; and $F_\varphi(x) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dy$, $\forall\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$. Define $D_F(F_\varphi) = F_{\varphi'}$ on the dense subset*

$$dom(D_F) = \{F_\varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)\} \subset \mathscr{H}_F. \tag{5.1}$$

Then the skew-Hermitian operator D_F has deficiency indices $(1,1)$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$, where the defect vectors are

$$\xi_+(x) = F_0(x) = e^{-x} \tag{5.2}$$

$$\xi_-(x) = F_1(x) = e^{x-1}; \tag{5.3}$$

moreover,

$$\|\xi_+\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \|\xi_+\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 1. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. (Note if Ω is any bounded, open and connected domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then a locally defined continuous p.d. function, $F : \Omega - \Omega :\to \mathbb{C}$, extends uniquely to the boundary $\partial\Omega := \overline{\Omega}\backslash\Omega$ by continuity [JPT14].)

In our current settings, $\Omega = (0,1)$, and $F_x(y) := F(x-y)$, $\forall x, y \in (0,1)$. Thus, $F_x(y)$ extends to all $x, y \in [0,1]$. In particular,

$$F_0(x) = e^{-x},\ F_1(x) = e^{x-1}$$

are the two defect vectors, as shown in corollary 2.17. Moreover, using the reproducing property, we have

$$\|F_0\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle F_0, F_0\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = F_0(0) = F(0) = 1$$
$$\|F_1\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle F_1, F_1\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = F_1(1) = F(0) = 1$$

and (5.4) follows. For more details, see [JPT14, lemma 2.10.14]. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let F be any continuous p.d. function on $(-1,1)$. Set*

$$h(x) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) F(x-y)\, dy,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1);$$

then

$$h(0) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) F(-y)\, dy, \qquad h(1) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) F(1-y)\, dy \tag{5.5}$$
$$h'(0) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) F'(-y)\, dy, \qquad h'(1) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) F'(1-y)\, dy; \tag{5.6}$$

where the derivatives F' in (5.5)-(5.6) are in the sense of distribution.

Proof. Note that

$$h(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(y) F(x-y)\, dy + \int_x^1 \varphi(y) F(x-y)\, dy;$$
$$h'(x) = \int_0^x \varphi(y) F'(x-y)\, dy + \int_x^1 \varphi(y) F'(x-y)\, dy.$$

and so (5.5)-(5.6) follow. □

We now specialize to the function $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$ defined in $(-1,1)$.

Corollary 5.3. *For $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$, set $h = T_F\varphi$, i.e.,*

$$h := F_\varphi = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) F(\cdot - y)\, dy,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1);$$

then

$$h(0) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) e^{-y} dy, \qquad h(1) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) e^{y-1} dy \tag{5.7}$$
$$h'(0) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) e^{-y} dy, \qquad h'(1) = -\int_0^1 \varphi(y) e^{y-1} dy \tag{5.8}$$

In particular,

$$h(0) - h'(0) = 0 \tag{5.9}$$
$$h(1) + h'(1) = 0. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. Immediately from lemma 5.2. Specifically,

$$h(x) = e^{-x}\int_0^x \varphi(y) e^y dy + e^x \int_x^1 \varphi(y) e^{-y} dy$$
$$h'(x) = -e^{-x}\int_0^x \varphi(y) e^y dy + e^x \int_x^1 \varphi(y) e^{-y} dy.$$

Setting $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ gives the desired conclusions. □

Remark 5.4. The space

$$\left\{h \in \mathscr{H}_F \;\middle|\; h(0) - h'(0) = 0,\ h(1) + h'(1) = 0\right\}$$

is dense in $\mathscr{H}_F$. This is because it contains $\left\{F_\varphi \;\middle|\; \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)\right\}$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 + F_0' &= -\delta_0, \text{ and} \\ F_1 - F_1' &= -\delta_1; \end{aligned}$$

however, $\delta_0, \delta_1 \notin \mathscr{H}_F$.

By von Neumann's theory [DS88] and lemma 2.14, the family of selfadjoint extensions of the Hermitian operator $-iD_F$ is characterized by

$$\begin{aligned} A_\theta\left(h + c\left(e^{-x} + e^{i\theta}e^{x-1}\right)\right) &= -i\,h' + i\,c\left(e^{-x} - e^{i\theta}e^{x-1}\right), \text{ where} \\ dom\left(A_\theta\right) &:= \left\{h + c\left(e^{-x} + e^{i\theta}e^{x-1}\right) \;\middle|\; h \in dom\left(D_F\right), c \in \mathbb{C}\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Remark 5.5. In (5.11), $h \in dom\left(D_F\right)$ (see (5.1)), and by corollary 5.3, h satisfies the boundary conditions (5.9)-(5.10). Also, by lemma 5.1, $\xi_+ = F_0 = e^{-x}$, $\xi_- = F_1 = e^{x-1}$, and $\|\xi_+\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \|\xi_-\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 1$.

Proposition 5.6. *Let A_θ be a selfadjoint extension of $-iD$ as in (5.11). Then,*

$$\psi(1) + \psi'(1) = e^{i\theta}\left(\psi(0) - \psi'(0)\right),\ \forall \psi \in dom\left(A_\theta\right). \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. Any $\psi \in dom\left(A_\theta\right)$ has the decomposition

$$\psi(x) = h(x) + c\left(e^{-x} + e^{i\theta}e^{x-1}\right)$$

where $h \in dom\left(D_F\right)$, and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. An application of corollary 2.18 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(1) + \psi'(1) &= \underbrace{h(1) + h'(1)}_{=0\,(\text{by }(5.10))} + c\left(e^{-1} + e^{i\theta}\right) + c\left(-e^{-1} + e^{i\theta}\right) = 2c\,e^{i\theta} \\ \psi(0) - \psi'(0) &= \underbrace{h(0) - h'(0)}_{=0\,(\text{by }(5.9))} + c\left(1 + e^{-1}e^{i\theta}\right) - c\left(-1 + e^{-1}e^{i\theta}\right) = 2c \end{aligned}$$

which is the assertion in (5.12). □

Corollary 5.7. *Let A_θ be a selfadjoint extension of $-iD_F$ as in (5.11). Fix $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\lambda \in spec_{pt}\left(A_\theta\right) \iff e_\lambda(x) := e^{i\lambda x} \in dom\left(A_\theta\right)$, and λ is a solution to the following equation:*

$$\lambda = \theta + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1}\right) + 2n\pi,\ n \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. By assumption, $e^{i\lambda x} \in dom\left(A_\theta\right)$, so $\exists h_\lambda \in dom\left(D_F\right)$, and $\exists c_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ s.t.

$$e^{i\lambda x} = h_\lambda(x) + c_\lambda\left(e^{x} + e^{i\theta}e^{x-1}\right). \tag{5.14}$$

Applying the boundary condition in proposition 5.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{i\lambda} + i\lambda e^{i\lambda} &= e^{i\theta}\left(1 - i\lambda\right);\ \text{i.e.,} \\ e^{i\lambda} &= e^{i\theta}\frac{1 - i\lambda}{1 + i\lambda} = e^{i\theta}e^{i\arg\left(\frac{1-i\lambda}{1+i\lambda}\right)} \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where

$$\arg\left(\frac{1 - i\lambda}{1 + i\lambda}\right) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1}\right)$$

and (5.13) follows. For a discrete set of solutions, see figure 5.1. □



FIGURE 5.1. Fix $\theta = 0.8$, $\Lambda_\theta = \{\lambda_n(\theta)\}$ = intersections of two curves.

Corollary 5.8. *If $A_\theta \supset -iD_F$ is a selfadjoint extension in $\mathscr{H}_F$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \textit{spect}\,(A_\theta) &= \big\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \,\big|\, e_\lambda \in \mathscr{H}_F \textit{ satisfying } (5.12)\big\} \\ &= \big\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \,\big|\, e_\lambda \in \mathscr{H}_F,\ e_\lambda = h_\lambda + c_\lambda\left(e^x + e^{i\theta}e^{x-1}\right), \\ &\qquad h_\lambda \in \textit{dom}\,(D_F),\ c_\lambda \in \mathbb{C}\big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.9. The corollary holds for all continuous p.d. functions $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$.

Corollary 5.10. *All selfadjoint extensions $A_\theta \supset -iD_F$ have purely atomic spectrum; i.e.,*

$$\Lambda_\theta := \textit{spect}\,(A_\theta) = \textit{discrete subset in } \mathbb{R}. \tag{5.16}$$

And for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_\theta$,

$$\ker\left(A_\theta - \lambda I_{\mathscr{H}_F}\right) = \mathbb{C}e_\lambda, \textit{ where } e_\lambda(x) = e^{i\lambda x} \tag{5.17}$$

i.e., all eigenvalues have multiplicity 1. *(The set Λ_θ will be denoted $\{\lambda_n(\theta)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ following Fig. 5.1.)*

Proof. This follows by solving eq. (5.13). □

Corollary 5.11. *Let A be a selfadjoint extension of $-iD_F$ as before. Suppose $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \textit{spec}\,(A)$, $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, then $e_{\lambda_i} \in \mathscr{H}_F$, $i = 1, 2$; and $\langle e_{\lambda_1}, e_{\lambda_2}\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 0$.*

Proof. Let λ_1, λ_2 be as in the statement, then

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\langle e_{\lambda_1}, e_{\lambda_2}\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle Ae_{\lambda_1}, e_{\lambda_2}\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} - \langle e_{\lambda_1}, Ae_{\lambda_2}\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 0;$$

so since $\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \neq 0$, we get $\langle e_{\lambda_1}, e_{\lambda_2}\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 0$. □

For explicit computations regarding these points, see also Corollaries 5.29, 5.31, and 5.32 below.

5.2. The Operator T_F

By T_F we mean the Mercer operator (4.1) in $L^2(0,1)$. Let the initial positive definite function F be as above. Below we show that the corresponding integral operator T_F in $L^2(0,1)$ is the sum of a *Volterra operator* and a *rank-one operator*, see (5.18).

Bringing in the Volterra operator V (see Lemma 5.12 below) facilitates calculation of the spectrum for the rank-one perturbation of V, $T_F = (\text{rank-one}) + V$, as it allows us to take advantage of the known filter of invariant subspace for V; see e.g., [Arv85, BO05].

Lemma 5.12. *Let $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$, and let $T_F : L^2(0,1) \to L^2(0,1)$ be the Mercer operator, i.e., $(T_F f)(x) = \int_0^1 f(y) F(x-y)\, dy$. Then,*

$$(T_F f)(x) = 2\int_0^x \sinh(y-x) f(y)\, dy + e^x \int_0^1 \varphi(y) e^{-y} dy,\ \forall f \in L^2(0,1); \quad (5.18)$$

which is a rank-1 perturbation of the Volterra operator

$$(Vf)(x) = 2\int_0^x \sinh(y-x) f(y)\, dy,\ \forall f \in L^2(0,1).$$

Proof. Recall that T_F^{-1} is a selfadjoint extension of the elliptic operator

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\left(I - \left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right)\Big|_{C_c^\infty(0,1)}$$

in $L^2(0,1)$. Thus for $h := T_F f$, $f \in L^2(0,1)$, we have

$$h'' = h - 2f. \quad (5.19)$$

Now we solve the ODE in (5.19) for h.

For this, write $h = h_p +$ homogeneous soln.

Let $h_p := 2\int_0^x \sinh(y-x) f(y)\, dy$ be as in (5.18). We check directly that

$$h_p'(x) = -2\int_0^x \sinh(y-x) f(y)\, dy$$
$$h_p''(x) = 2\int_0^x \sinh(y-x) f(y) - 2f(x) = h(x) - 2f(x)$$

i.e., $h_p'' = h_p - 2f$. So h_p is a particular solution.

Therefore,

$$h(x) = (T_F f)(x) = \underbrace{A\cosh(x) + B\sinh(x)}_{\text{homogeneous soln}} + h_p(x) \quad (5.20)$$

Applying the boundary condition $h_p(0) = h_p'(0) = 0$ yields

$$A = B = \int_0^1 e^{-y} f(y)\, dy;$$

substituting into (5.20) gives

$$h(x) = (T_F f)(x) = e^x \int_0^1 \varphi(y) e^{-y} dy + h_p(x).$$

This is the desired statement in (5.18).

Below, we offer a more direct argument:

$$\begin{aligned}
(T_F\varphi)(x) &= \int_0^1 \varphi(y) e^{-|x-y|} dy \\
&= \int_0^x \varphi(y) e^{y-x} dy + \int_x^1 \varphi(y) e^{-(y-x)} dx \\
&= \int_0^x \varphi(y) (\underbrace{e^{y-x} - e^{x-y}}_{2\sinh(y-x)} + e^{x-y}) dy + \int_x^1 \varphi(y) e^{-(y-x)} dx \\
&= 2\int_0^x \varphi(y) \sinh(y-x)\, dy + e^x \int_0^x \varphi(y) e^{-y} dy + e^x \int_x^1 \varphi(y) e^{-y} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2\int_0^x \varphi(y)\sinh(y-x)\,dy + e^x\int_0^1 \varphi(y)\,e^{-y}dy.$$

□

We now show that T_F is a Greens function for $\frac{1}{2}\left(I-\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right)$.

Lemma 5.13. *For all $\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,1)$, set*

$$f(x)=F_\varphi(x)=(T_F\varphi)(x)=\int_0^1\varphi(y)\,e^{-|x-y|}dy,\ 0\le x\le 1.$$

Then

$$\varphi=T_F^{-1}f=\frac{1}{2}\left(f-\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2 f\right) \tag{5.21}$$

with boundary condition on f as follows:

$$f(0)=f'(0)=\int_0^1 e^{-y}\varphi(y)\,dy$$

$$f(1)=-f'(1)=e^{-1}\int_0^1 e^{y}\varphi(y)\,dy.$$

Proof of lemma 5.13. From the definition of f, we have

$$f(x)=e^{-x}\int_0^x\varphi(y)\,e^y dy+e^x\int_x^1 e^{-y}\varphi(y)\,dy$$

$$f'(x)=-e^{-x}\int_0^x\varphi(y)\,e^y dy+e^x\int_x^1\varphi(y)\,e^{-y}dy$$

$$f''(x)=f(x)-2\varphi(x);$$

i.e.,

$$\varphi=T_F^{-1}f=\frac{1}{2}\left(f-\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2 f\right).$$

The boundary conditions on f follow from corollary 5.3, eqs. (5.9)-(5.10). □

Let $F:(-1,1)\to\mathbb{C}$ be a continuous p.d. function. Recall the Mercer operator $T_F:L^2(0,1)\to L^2(0,1)$, by

$$(T_F\varphi)(x)=\int_0^1\varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dy,\ \forall\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,1);$$

which is positive, selfadjoint, and trace class.

In the case $F(x)=e^{-|x|}$, $|x|<1$, we see in (5.21) that

$$T_F^{-1}\supset\frac{1}{2}\left(I-\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right). \tag{5.22}$$

Proposition 5.14. *Let*

$$\mathscr{D}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(I-\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right),\ \textit{where} \tag{5.23}$$

$$dom(\mathscr{D}):=\{F_\varphi:\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,1)\} \tag{5.24}$$

acting in $L^2(0,1)$.

Then $\mathscr{D}=T_F^{-1}$ (see (5.22)); in particular, $\mathscr{D}$ is selfadjoint and having purely atomic spectrum. Moreover, $\lambda\in spect(\mathscr{D})$ iff it satisfies the following equation:

$$\tan k=\frac{2k}{k^2-1},\ k^2>1 \tag{5.25}$$

where $k^2 := 2\lambda - 1$. *See figure 5.2.*

Proof. Suppose $f, g \in dom(\mathscr{D})$, then f, g satisfy (see (5.9)-(5.10))

$$h(0) - h'(0) = h(1) + h'(1) = 0; \text{ and so}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f, g - g'' \rangle_2 - \langle f - f'', g \rangle_2 = &\left[\overline{f'} g - \overline{f} g'\right](0) - \left[\overline{f'} g - \overline{f} g'\right](1) \\ = &\overline{f'(0)} g(0) - \overline{f(0)} g'(0) - \overline{f'(1)} g(1) + \overline{f(1)} g'(1) \\ = &\overline{f(0)} g(0) - \overline{f(0)} g(0) + \overline{f(1)} g(1) - \overline{f(1)} g(1) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathscr{D}$ is selfadjoint, and $T_F^{-1} = \mathscr{D}$ by (5.22). Since T_F is trace class, then $\mathscr{D}$ has discrete spectrum.

Now we proceed to diagonalize $\mathscr{D}$. Suppose $h \in dom(\mathscr{D})$ s.t., $\mathscr{D}h = \lambda h$, i.e., consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} h'' = -k^2 h \\ h(0) - h'(0) = 0 \\ h(1) + h'(1) = 0 \end{array}\right\} \tag{5.26}$$

where $k^2 := 2\lambda - 1$. By (4.1), $trace(T_F) = 1$. Since $\mathscr{D} = T_F^{-1}$, it follows that $\lambda > 1$; thus $k^2 = 2\lambda - 1 > 1$.

Let $h(x) = Ae^{ikx} + Be^{-ikx}$, applying the boundary conditions in (5.26) we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(1 - ik) + B(1 + ik) &= 0 \\ A(1 + ik) e^{ik} + B(1 - ik) e^{-ik} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Setting the determinant of the coefficient matrix to zero yields (5.25). □



FIGURE 5.2. Solutions to eq. (5.25).

We now turn to a number of *a priori* estimates valid for the example $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$ in $|x| < 1$.

Lemma 5.15. *Let* $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in (-1, 1)$. *A continuous function* h *on* $[0, 1]$ *is in* $\mathscr{H}_F$ *iff* $h' \in L^2(0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, 1)$, then

$$\left| \int_0^1 h(x) \varphi(x) \, dx \right|^2 = \left| \int_0^1 h(x) T_F^{-1} T_F \varphi(x) \, dx \right|^2$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \Big| \int_0^1 T_F^{-1/2} h(x) \underbrace{T_F^{-1/2} T_F}_{T_F^{1/2}} \varphi(x)\, dx \Big|^2 \\
&\le \left\| T_F^{-1/2} h \right\|_2^2 \left\| T_F^{1/2} \varphi \right\|_2^2 \\
&\le \underbrace{b\left(\|h\|_2^2 + \|h'\|_2^2 \right)}_{=:C} \left\| T_F^{1/2} \varphi \right\|_2^2 \\
&= C \left\langle \varphi, T_F \varphi \right\rangle_{L^2(0,1)} = C \left\| F_\varphi \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}^2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Lemma 5.16. *Let $D_F(F_\varphi) = F_{\varphi'}$ as a skew-Hermitian operator in $\mathscr{H}_F$, with $dom(D_F) = \{F_\varphi \mid \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)\}$; then*

$$
\begin{aligned}
dom(D_F^*) &= \{h \in \mathscr{H}_F \mid h' \in \mathscr{H}_F\} \text{ and} \\
D_F^* h &= -h'
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.27}
$$

where h' refers to the weak derivative.

Proof. From the definition of D_F^*, the following are equivalent:

(1) $h \in dom(D_F^*)$.
(2) $h \in \mathscr{H}_F$, and $\exists\, C < \infty$, s.t.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left| \langle h, D_F(F_\varphi) \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} \right|^2 &= \left| \langle h, F_{\varphi'} \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} \right|^2 \le C \left\| F_\varphi \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}^2 \\
&= C \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \overline{\varphi(y)} \varphi(x) F(x-y)\, dxdy
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$.

(3) $\exists\, b < \infty$, s.t.

$$
\left| \int_0^1 \overline{h(x)} \varphi'(x)\, dx \right|^2 \le C \langle \varphi, T_F \varphi \rangle_2 \le C\, b \int_0^1 |\varphi(x)|^2\, dx \tag{5.28}
$$

where T_F = Mercer operator, $(T_F\varphi)(x) = \int_0^1 \varphi(y) F(x-y)\, dy$. But $T_F : L^2(0,1) \to L^2(0,1)$ is bounded, selfadjoint, positive, and trace class, so $\exists\, b < \infty$ s.t.

$$
|\langle \varphi, T_F \varphi \rangle_2|^2 \le b \int_0^1 |\varphi(x)|^2\, dx \tag{5.29}
$$

and (5.28) follows. Hence $h' \in L^2(0,1)$.

Claim. $h' \in \mathscr{H}_F$ where $(') = \frac{d}{dx}$ = the distributional (weak) derivative.

Proof. To prove this note that

$$
\left| \int_0^1 \overline{h'(x)} \varphi(x)\, dx \right|^2 \le C \left\| F_\varphi \right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}^2 \tag{5.30}
$$

and since $h' \in L^2(0,1)$, it follows that h is continuous. So (5.30) $\Longrightarrow h' \in \mathscr{H}_F$. □

By definition of D_F^* (relative to the $\mathscr{H}_F$ inner product) we have

$$\langle D_F^* h, F_\varphi \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle h, F_{\varphi'} \rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\,,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)\,. \tag{5.31}$$

Computation of the two sides in (5.31) yields:

$$\Big(\mathrm{LHS}_{(5.31)} =\Big) \int_0^1 \left(D_F^* h\right)(x)\,\varphi(x)\,dx = \int_0^1 h(x)\,\varphi'(x)\,dx \Big(= \mathrm{RHS}_{(5.31)}\Big)$$

$\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$; which shows that $D_F^* h = -h'$ where h' is the weak derivative (in the sense of Schwartz distributions.)

□

Corollary 5.17. *If $h \in dom\,(D_F^*)$, then h and $h' \in \mathscr{H}_F$.*

Remark 5.18. The result in proposition 5.6 is general, and applies to any continuous p.d. function $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$, s.t., $D^{(F)}$ has indices $(1, 1)$.

Note

$$dom\,(D_F) \subset \left\{h \in \mathscr{H}_F \;\middle|\; h(0) = h'(0)\,,\ h(1) = -h'(1)\right\} \tag{5.32}$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$D_F^*(F_0) = F_0,\ D_F^*(F_1) = -F_1 \tag{5.33}$$

so,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} h(0) & h(1) \\ h'(0) & h'(1) \end{pmatrix}}_{\text{Wronskian}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h(1) - h'(1) \\ h(0) - h'(0) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.34}$$

Theorem 5.19. *Let $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be any continuous and p.d. function, and set*

$$f(x) = F_\varphi(x) = \int_0^a \varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dy,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a)\,;$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) = f'(0)\,,\ \textit{for all } \varphi \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad F_0 = F_0' \\ f(a) = -f'(a)\,,\ \textit{for all } \varphi \quad &\Longleftrightarrow \quad F_a = -F_a' \end{aligned}$$

where the derivatives are in the sense of distribution.

Proof. By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_0^x \varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dy + \int_x^a \varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dy \\ f'(x) &= \int_0^x \varphi(y)\,F'(x-y)\,dy + \int_x^a \varphi(y)\,F'(x-y)\,dy \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\varphi(x)\,F(0) - \varphi(x)\,F(0)}_{=0}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= \int_0^a \varphi(y)\,F(0-y)\,dy \\ f'(0) &= \int_0^a \varphi(y)\,F'(0-y)\,dy = \int_0^a \varphi'(y)\,F(0-y)\,dy \\ f(a) &= \int_0^a \varphi(y)\,F(a-y)\,dy \end{aligned}$$

$$f'(a) = \int_0^a \varphi(y) F'(a-y)\, dy = \int_0^a \varphi'(y) F(a-y)\, dy$$

and the theorem follows. □

Recall that $D_F^*(h) = -h'$, $\forall h \in dom(D_F^*)$, where D_F is a skew Hermitian operator in $\mathscr{H}_F$. Let F_0 and F_a be the kernel functions at the endpoints:

$$\langle F_0, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = h(0), \ \langle F_a, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = h(a).$$

Corollary 5.20. *Let $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous, p.d. function, and let $D_F : F_\varphi \mapsto F_{\varphi'}$ be as usual; then $f = F_\varphi$ satisfies the following boundary conditions (kernels at endpoints):*

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) = f'(0), \text{ for all } \varphi &\iff D_F^*(F_0) = F_0 \\ f(a) = -f'(a), \text{ for all } \varphi &\iff D_F^*(F_a) = -F_a \end{aligned}$$

where F_0 and F_a are the kernel of the RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Lemma 5.21. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) $F'_\varphi(0) = F_\varphi(0)$.
(2) $F_0 \in dom(D_F^*)$ and $D_F^*(F_0) = F_0$.
(3) $F_0(x) = const \cdot e^{-x}$.

Proof. Note that

$$F'_\varphi(x) = \int_0^a \varphi'(x-y) F(y)\, dy, \ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, a).$$

Hence (1) $\iff$

$$\langle F_0, F_{\varphi'}\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle F_0, F_\varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} \iff F_0 \in dom(D_F^*)$$

note $F_{\varphi'} = D_F(F_\varphi)$; and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle D_F^*(F_0), F_\varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \langle F_0, F_\varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}, \ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, a) \\ &\Updownarrow \\ F_0 \in dom(D_F^*), \text{ and } D_F^*(F_0) &= F_0, \text{ which is (2).} \end{aligned}$$

But we always have (2)⇔(3). □

Remark 5.22. If $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$, we have $F_0 = e^{-x}$ and $D_F^* F_0 = F_0$. But for $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$; $F_0 = 1 - x$, $0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}$, and $D_F^*(F_0) = 1 =$ constant function 1. See figures 5.3-5.4.



FIGURE 5.4. $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $x \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$



FIGURE 5.3. $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in (-1, 1)$

For the conclusions in the examples below, compare with lemma 5.21.

Example 5.23. Let $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = F_\varphi(x) &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(y) F(x-y)\, dy \\ &= \int_0^x \varphi(y)(1 - x + y)\, dy + \int_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(y)(1 - y + x)\, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f'(x) &= -\int_0^x \varphi(y)\, dy + \int_x^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(y)\, dy \\ f''(x) &= -2\varphi(x); \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\varphi = T_F^{-1} f = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2 f.$$

For the boundary conditions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(0) &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(y)(1-y)\,dy, \\ f'(0) &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(y)\,dy, \\ f\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) &= \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(y)\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+y\right)dy, \\ f'\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right) &= -\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(y)\,dy \neq \pm f\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(0) - f'(0) = -\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} y\varphi(y)\,dy \neq 0$.

5.3. **The Spectra of the s.a. extensions $A_\theta \supset -iD_F$**

Let $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$. Define $D_F(F_\varphi) = F_{\varphi'}$ as before, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_\varphi(x) &= \int_0^1 \varphi(y) F(x-y)\,dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \varphi(y) e^{-|x-y|}dy, \ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1). \end{aligned}$$

And let $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the RKHS of F.

Lemma 5.24. *For all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$, and all $h, h'' \in \mathscr{H}_F$, we have*

$$\langle F_\varphi, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \left\langle F_\varphi, \tfrac{1}{2}(h - h'')\right\rangle_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}[W]_0^1 \tag{5.35}$$

where

$$W = \det\begin{bmatrix} h & F_\varphi \\ h' & F_{\varphi'} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{5.36}$$

Setting $l := F_\varphi$, we have

$$[W]_0^1 = -\overline{l}(1)(h(1) + h'(1)) - \overline{l}(0)(h(0) - h'(0)). \tag{5.37}$$

Proof. Note

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F_\varphi, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \int_0^1 \varphi(x) h(x)\,dx \quad \text{(reproducing property)} \\ &= \left\langle \tfrac{1}{2}\left(I - \left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right) F_\varphi, h\right\rangle_2 \\ &= \left\langle F_\varphi, \tfrac{1}{2}(h - h'')\right\rangle_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}[W]_0^1. \end{aligned}$$

Set $l := F_\varphi \in \mathscr{H}_F$, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$. Recall the boundary condition in corollary 5.3:

$$l(0) - l'(0) = l(1) + l'(1) = 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} [W]_0^1 &= \left(\overline{l'}h - \overline{l}h'\right)(1) - \left(\overline{l'}h - \overline{l}h'\right)(0) \\ &= -\overline{l}(1)h(1) - \overline{l}(1)h'(1) - \overline{l}(0)h(0) + \overline{l}(0)h'(0) \\ &= -\overline{l}(1)(h(1) + h'(1)) - \overline{l}(0)(h(0) - h'(0)) \end{aligned}$$

which is (5.37). □

Corollary 5.25. $e_\lambda \in \mathscr{H}_F$, $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. By theorem 2.9, we need the following estimate: $\exists C < \infty$ s.t.

$$\left| \int_0^1 \varphi(x)\, e_\lambda(x)\, dx \right|^2 \leq C \left\| F_\varphi \right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}. \tag{5.38}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 \varphi(x)\, e_\lambda(x)\, dx &= \left\langle \tfrac{1}{2}\left(I - \left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right) F_\varphi, e_\lambda \right\rangle_2 \\
&= \left\langle F_\varphi, \tfrac{1}{2}\left(e_\lambda - e_\lambda''\right)\right\rangle_2 - \frac{1}{2}\left[W\right]_0^1 \\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)\left\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\right\rangle_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\left(-l(1)(1+i\lambda)e^{i\lambda} - l(0)(1-i\lambda)\right);
\end{aligned}$$

see (5.35)-(5.37). Here, $l := F_\varphi$.

It suffices to show

(i) $\exists C_1 < \infty$ s.t.

$$|l(0)|^2 \text{ and } |l(1)|^2 \leq C_1 \left\| F_\varphi \right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}.$$

(ii) $\exists C_2 < \infty$ s.t.

$$\left|\left\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\right\rangle_2\right|^2 \leq C_2 \left\| F_\varphi \right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}.$$

For (i), note that

$$\begin{aligned}
|l(0)| &= \left|\left\langle F_0, l\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\right| \leq \left\|F_0\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \left\|l\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \left\|F_0\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \left\|F_\varphi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \\
|l(1)| &= \left|\left\langle F_1, l\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\right| \leq \left\|F_1\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \left\|l\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \left\|F_1\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \left\|F_\varphi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}
\end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|F_0\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \left\|F_1\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 1 \\
\left\|l\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \left\|F_\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \left\|T_F\varphi\right\|_2^2 \leq \lambda_1^2 \left\|\varphi\right\|_2^2 < \infty
\end{aligned}$$

where λ_1 is the top eigenvalue of the Mercer operator T_F (lemma 4.1).

For (ii),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\right\rangle_2\right|^2 &= \left|\left\langle T_F\varphi, e_\lambda\right\rangle_2\right|^2 \\
&= \left|\left\langle T_F^{1/2}\varphi, T_F^{1/2} e_\lambda\right\rangle_2\right|^2 \\
&\leq \left\|T_F^{1/2}\varphi\right\|_2^2 \left\|T_F^{1/2} e_\lambda\right\|_2^2 \text{ (by Cauchy-Schwarz)} \\
&= \left\langle \varphi, T_F\varphi\right\rangle_2 \left\|T_F^{1/2} e_\lambda\right\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \left\|F_\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} \left\|e_\lambda\right\|_2^2 = \left\|F_\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F};
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\left\|T_F^{1/2} e_\lambda\right\|_2^2 \leq \lambda_1 \left\|e_\lambda\right\|_2^2 \leq 1$, since $\lambda_1 < 1 =$ the right endpoint of the interval $[0,1]$ (see lemma 4.1), and $\left\|e_\lambda\right\|_2 = 1$.

Therefore, the corollary follows. □

Corollary 5.26. *For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and all F_φ, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)\left\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\right\rangle_2 \\
&\quad + \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\overline{l}(1)(1+i\lambda)e^{i\lambda} + \overline{l}(0)(1-i\lambda)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

Proof. By lemma 5.24,

$$\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \left\langle F_\varphi, \tfrac{1}{2}\left(e_\lambda - e''_\lambda\right)\right\rangle_2 - \tfrac{1}{2}\left[W\right]_0^1.$$

where

$$\tfrac{1}{2}\left(e_\lambda - e''_\lambda\right) = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)e_\lambda; \text{ and}$$

$$\left[W\right]_0^1 \overset{(5.37)}{=} -\overline{l}\left(1\right)\left(1+i\lambda\right)e^{i\lambda} - \overline{l}\left(0\right)\left(1-i\lambda\right),\ l := F_\varphi.$$

□

Lemma 5.27. *For all F_φ, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$, and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle \varphi, e_\lambda\rangle_2. \tag{5.40}$$

In particular, set $\lambda = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F_\varphi, \mathbf{1}\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \int_0^1 \varphi(x)\,dx = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 \left(F_\varphi - F''_\varphi\right)(x)\,dx\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\langle F_\varphi, \mathbf{1}\rangle_2 - \left\langle F''_\varphi, \mathbf{1}\right\rangle_2\right)\\ &\le C\left\|F_\varphi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Eq. (5.40) follows from basic fact of the Mercer operator. See section 4 for details. It suffices to note the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 F''_\varphi(x)\,dx &= F'_\varphi(1) - F'_\varphi(0)\\ &= -e^{-1}\int_0^1 e^y\varphi(y)\,dy - \int_0^1 e^{-y}\varphi(y)\,dy\\ &= -F_\varphi(1) - F_\varphi(0) \le 2\left\|F_\varphi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.28. *For all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\langle e_\lambda, e_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \frac{\lambda^2+3}{2}. \tag{5.41}$$

Proof. By corollary 5.26, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)\langle F_\varphi, e_\lambda\rangle_2\\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{l}\left(1\right)\left(1+i\lambda\right)e^{i\lambda} + \overline{l}\left(0\right)\left(1-i\lambda\right)\right);\ l := F_\varphi.\end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

Since $\{F_\varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)\}$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}_F$, $\exists F_{\varphi_n} \to e_\lambda$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle F_{\varphi_n}, e_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} &\to \langle e_\lambda, e_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{-i\lambda}\left(1+i\lambda\right)e^{i\lambda} + \left(1-i\lambda\right)\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right) + 1 = \frac{\lambda^2+3}{2}.\end{aligned}$$

The approximation is justified since all the terms in the RHS of (5.42) satisfy the estimate $\left|\cdots\right|^2 \le C\left\|F_\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}$. See the proof of corollary 5.25 for details. □

Note lemma 5.24 is equivalent to the following:

Corollary 5.29. *For all $h \in \mathscr{H}_F$, and all $k \in dom\left(T_F^{-1}\right) = \{F_\varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)\}$, we have*

$$\langle h,k\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(\langle h,k\rangle_0 + \langle h',k'\rangle_0\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\overline{h(0)}k(0) + \overline{h(1)}k(1)\right) \tag{5.43}$$

and eq. (5.43) extends to all $k \in \mathscr{H}_F$, since $dom\left(T_F^{-1}\right)$ is dense in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Example 5.30. Take $h = k = e_\lambda$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then (5.43) gives

$$\langle e_\lambda, e_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}(1+1) = \frac{\lambda^2+3}{2}$$

as in (5.41).

Corollary 5.31. *Let $A_\theta \supset -iD$ be any selfadjoint extension in $\mathscr{H}_F$. If $\lambda, \mu \in spect(A_\theta)$, s.t. $\lambda \neq \mu$, then $\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 0$.*

Proof. It follows from (5.43) that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} &= \langle e_\lambda, e_\mu\rangle_0 + \lambda\mu\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu\rangle_0 + \left(1 + e^{i(\mu-\lambda)}\right) \\ &= (1+\lambda\mu)\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu\rangle_0 + \left(1 + e^{i(\mu-\lambda)}\right) \\ &= (1+\lambda\mu)\frac{e^{i(\mu-\lambda)}-1}{i(\mu-\lambda)} + \left(1 + e^{i(\mu-\lambda)}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{5.44}$$

By corollary 5.7, eq. (5.15), we have

$$e^{i\lambda} = \frac{1-i\lambda}{1+i\lambda}e^{i\theta}, \quad e^{i\mu} = \frac{1-i\mu}{1+i\mu}e^{i\theta}$$

and so

$$e^{i(\mu-\lambda)} = \frac{(1-i\mu)(1+i\lambda)}{(1+i\mu)(1-i\lambda)}.$$

Substitute this into (5.44) yields

$$2\langle e_\lambda, e_\mu\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} = \frac{-2(1+\lambda\mu)}{(1+i\mu)(1-i\lambda)} + \frac{2(1+\lambda\mu)}{(1+i\mu)(1-i\lambda)} = 0.$$

□

Corollary 5.32. *Let $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$. Let $D_F(F_\varphi) = F_{\varphi'}$, $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$, and $A_\theta \supset -iD_F$ be a selfadjoint extension in $\mathscr{H}_F$. Set $e_\lambda(x) = e^{i\lambda x}$, and*

$$\Lambda_\theta := spect(A_\theta)\ (= \text{discrete subset in } \mathbb{R} \text{ by Cor. } 5.10) \tag{5.45}$$

Then

$$\widetilde{F}_\theta(x) = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\theta} \frac{2}{\lambda^2+3} e_\lambda(x),\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.46}$$

is a continuous p.d. extension of F to the real line. Note that both sides in eq. (5.46) depend on the choice of θ.

The type 1 extensions are indexed by $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ where Λ_θ is given in (5.45), see also (5.13) in corollary 5.7.

Corollary 5.33 (Sampling property of the set Λ_θ). *Let $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$ in $|x| < 1$, $\mathscr{H}_F$, θ, and Λ_θ be as above. Let T_F be the corresponding Mercer operator. Then for all $\varphi \in L^2(0,1)$, we have*

$$(T_F\varphi)(x) = 2\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\theta} \frac{\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda)}{\lambda^2+3} e^{i\lambda x}, \text{ for all } x \in (0,1).$$

Proof. This is immediate from corollary 5.32. □

Remark 5.34. Note that the system $\{e_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_\theta\}$ is orthogonal in $\mathscr{H}_F$, but not in $L^2(0,1)$.

Proof. We see in section 5.1 that A_θ has pure atomic spectrum. By (5.28), the set $\left\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda^2+3}} e_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda_\theta\right\}$ is an ONB in $\mathscr{H}_F$. Hence, for $F = F_0 = e^{-|x|}$, we have the corresponding p.d. extension:

$$\begin{aligned} F_\theta(x) &= \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\theta} \frac{1}{\|e_\lambda\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}} \langle e_\lambda, F\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} e_\lambda(x) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\theta} \frac{2}{\lambda^2+3} e_\lambda(x), \ \forall x \in [0,1]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.47}$$

where $\langle e_\lambda, F\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \overline{e_\lambda(0)} = 1$ by the reproducing property. But the RHS of (5.47) extends to $\mathbb{R}$. See figure 5.5. □



FIGURE 5.5. $\theta = 0$. A type 1 continuous p.d. extension of $F(x) = e^{-|x|}\big|_{[-1,1]}$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Corollary 5.35. *Let $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$ in $(-1,1)$, and let $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the RKHS. Let $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$, and let Λ_θ be as above; then $\left\{\sqrt{\frac{2}{\lambda^2+3}} e_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_\theta\right\}$ is an ONB in $\mathscr{H}_F$.*

Lemma 5.36. *Let G be a locally compact abelian group. There is a bijective correspondence between all continuous p.d. extensions $\tilde{F}$ to G of the given p.d. function F on $\Omega - \Omega$, on the one hand; and all Borel probability measures μ on $\widehat{G}$, on the other, i.e., all $\mu \in \mathscr{M}(\widehat{G})$ s.t.*

$$F(x) = \widehat{\mu}(x), \ \forall x \in \Omega - \Omega, \text{ where} \tag{5.48}$$

$$\widehat{\mu}(x) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \lambda(x)\, d\mu(\lambda) = \int_{\widehat{G}} \langle \lambda, x\rangle\, d\mu(\lambda), \ \forall x \in G.$$

Moreover,

$$V : \mathscr{H}_F \ni F_\varphi \longmapsto \widehat{\varphi} \in L^2(\mu)$$

extends by density to an isometry from $\mathscr{H}_F$ *to* $L^2(\mu)$*, and*

$$V^* f = (f d\mu)^\vee \in \mathscr{H}_F, \text{ where}$$

$$(f d\mu)^\vee := \int_{\widehat{G}} \langle \lambda, x \rangle f(\lambda)\, d\mu(\lambda), \ \forall f \in L^2(\mu).$$

Proof. This is an immediate application of Bochner's characterization of the continuous positive definite functions on locally compact abelian groups. See [JPT14]. □

Definition 5.37. Set

$$Ext(F) = \left\{ \mu \in \mathscr{M}(\widehat{G}) \;\middle|\; s.t.\ (5.48)\ \text{holds} \right\}.$$

(See section 3 for type 1 and 2 extensions.)

Corollary 5.38. *In the case* $F = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$, *let* $A_\theta \supset -iD$ *be any one of the s.a. extensions. Let* $\widetilde{F}_\theta(x)$ *be the continuous p.d. extension in (5.46).*

(1) Then

$$\widetilde{F}_\theta(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x} d\mu_\theta(\lambda).$$

(2) And

$$\mu_\theta(\cdot) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_\theta} \frac{2\delta_\lambda}{\lambda^2 + 3}, \text{ where } \delta_\lambda = \text{Dirac point mass at } \lambda.$$

(3) And μ_θ *is an extreme point in* $Ext(F)$.

Proof. Follows from the argument in Corollaries 5.32, 5.35, and Lemma 5.36. □

Theorem 5.39. *Let* $\mathscr{H}_F$ *be the RKHS for* $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in (-1, 1)$. *Then, for all complex numbers* z, *the function* $e_z(x) = e^{zx}$ *is in* $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Proof. First, if z is purely imaginary, the function e_z is in $\mathscr{H}_F$ by Coroll. 5.25. From the lemmas in section 5, we know the defect vectors for the associated skew Hermitian operator D_F. The operator D_F has indices $(1, 1)$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$. By von Neumann [DS88], we know that e_z solves $D_F^* e_z = z\, e_z$ whenever z has non-zero real part. Since the indices are $(1, 1)$, the solution for each z must be in $\mathscr{H}_F$. □

Below, we consider the converse of corollary 2.10 for the special case where $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$.

Lemma 5.40. *Let* $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$, *and let* $\mathscr{H}_F$ *be the corresponding RKHS. Then, for all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, *we have*

$$e_\lambda(x) = F_{\mu_\lambda}(x) = \int_0^1 F(x - y)\, d\mu_\lambda(y), \ \forall x \in (0, 1); \text{ where for } \lambda \text{ fixed} \tag{5.49}$$

$$d\mu_\lambda(y) := \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + \lambda^2\right) e_\lambda(y)\, dy + \frac{1}{2}\left((1 - i\lambda)\,\delta_0 + (1 + i\lambda)\, e^{-i\lambda}\delta_1\right). \tag{5.50}$$

Proof. Note by corollary 5.25, $e_\lambda \in \mathscr{H}_F$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $A \supset -iD$ be any of the s.a. extensions, with $spect\,(A) = \{\lambda_n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$; and let $\{e_{\lambda_n} \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the corresponding eigenfunctions. Set $e_n(x) := e_{\lambda_n}(x) = e^{i\lambda_n x}$, $x \in (0,1)$. Thus, $\{e_n / \|e_n\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}\}$ is an ONB in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Using the reproducing property, we have

$$F(x) = \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} \langle e_n, F_0\rangle\, e_n(x) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} e_n(x)\,,\ \forall x \in (0,1)\,. \tag{5.51}$$

Note in (5.51), we used $\langle e_n, F_0\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \overline{e_n(0)} = 1$.

Now, let $d\mu_\lambda$ be as in the statement of the lemma. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 F(x-y)\,d\mu_\lambda(y) &\overset{\text{by (5.51)}}{=} \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} \int_0^1 \overline{e_n(y)} d\mu(y) \\
&= \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} e_n(x) \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda^2\right)\int_0^1 \overline{e_n(y)} e_\lambda(y)\,dy \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}\left((1-i\lambda)\,\overline{e_n(0)} + (1+i\lambda)\,e^{-i\lambda}\overline{e_n(1)}\right)\right] \\
&= \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} e_n(x) \left[\frac{1}{2}\langle e_n, e_\lambda - e''_\lambda\rangle_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}\left((1-i\lambda)\,\overline{e_n(0)} + (1+i\lambda)\,e^{-i\lambda}\overline{e_n(1)}\right)\right] \\
&\overset{\text{Cor.5.26}}{=} \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} e_n(x)\,\langle e_n, e_\lambda\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} \\
&= e_\lambda(x)
\end{aligned}$$

thus (5.49)-(5.50) hold. □

Corollary 5.41. *For $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$, every $h \in \mathscr{H}_F$ takes the form $h = F_{\mu_h}$, where μ_h is a complex Borel measure in $[0,1]$. Specifically,*

$$h(x) = \int_0^1 e^{-|x-y|} d\mu_h(y)\,,\ \textit{where} \tag{5.52}$$

$$d\mu_h(y) := \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} \langle e_n, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\, d\mu_n(y)\,. \tag{5.53}$$

Remark 5.42. Note the measure $d\mu_h$ in the corollary does not necessarily have finite total variation. From (5.53), we conclude that

$$|\mu_h| < \infty \Longleftrightarrow \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} |\langle e_n, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}|\,|\mu_n| < \infty;$$

where $|\mu_n|$ = the total variation of the measure μ_n, see (5.50).

Proof of corollary 5.41 . By (5.40), for all e_n, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\exists \mu_n$ s.t.

$$e_n(x) = \int_0^1 F(x-y)\,d\mu_n(y)\,;\ \text{where}$$

$$d\mu_n(y) = \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_n^2\right) e_{\lambda_n}(y)\,dy + \frac{1}{2}\left((1-i\lambda_n)\,\delta_0 + (1+i\lambda_n)\,e^{-i\lambda_n}\delta_1\right) \tag{5.54}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It follows that, for all $h \in \mathscr{H}_F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} \langle e_n, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} e_n(x) \\ &= \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} \langle e_n, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}} \int_0^1 F(x-y)\, d\mu_n \\ &\overset{\text{(Fubini)}}{=} \int_0^1 F(x-y) \sum_n \frac{1}{\|e_n\|^2_{\mathscr{H}}} \langle e_n, h\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}\, d\mu_n(y); \end{aligned}$$

i.e., we set $d\mu_h(y)$ as in (5.53). □

Remark 5.43. The total variation of the measure μ_n in $[0,1]$ is $\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\lambda_n^2\right)+\sqrt{1+\lambda_n^2}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

5.4. **Examples of Type 2 Extensions**

For $F = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in (-1,1)$, consider the following family of extensions:

$$G_r(x) := \begin{cases} e^{-1}e^{r(1-x)} & x \geq 1 \\ e^{-|x|} & -1 < x < 1 \\ e^{-1}e^{r(1+x)} & x \leq -1 \end{cases}$$

For $r \in [0,1]$, this family of extensions are type 2. See figure 5.6.

The Fourier transform of G_r is

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{G_r}(\lambda) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_r(x)\, e^{-i\lambda x} dx \\ &= \frac{2e\cdot\left(\lambda^2+r^2\right)+2(r-1)\left(\cos(\lambda)\left(\lambda^2-r\right)+\lambda(r+1)\sin(\lambda)\right)}{e\cdot\left(\lambda^2+1\right)\left(\lambda^2+r^2\right)}; \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$G_r(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x} \frac{1}{2\pi} \widehat{G_r}(\lambda)\, d\lambda.$$

Set

$$\widehat{g_r}(\lambda) := \frac{1}{2\pi}\widehat{G_r}(\lambda)$$

then we get

$$e^{-|x|} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x} \widehat{g_r}(\lambda)\, d\lambda, \quad \forall x \in (-1,1).$$

Indeed,

$$d\mu_r(\lambda) := \widehat{g_r}(\lambda)\, d\lambda,\ r \in [0,1]$$

is a family of probability measures that extends $e^{-|x|}\Big|_{(-1,1)}$.



FIGURE 5.6. G_r extensions (type 2), $r = 0.8$

Example 5.44. Considering $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$ for $|x| < 1$; then the trivial p.d. extension $\widetilde{F}(x) := e^{-|x|}$, defined for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, is a type 2 extension.

5.5. **The Unitary Groups**

Starting with a pair (Ω, F), where F is a prescribed continuous positive definite function defined on $\Omega = (-a, a)$, we study the family of selfadjoint extensions $\{A_\theta\}$ of the canonical Hermitian operator $D^{(F)}$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$. We show that the corresponding family of unitary one-parameter group $U^{(F,\theta)}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, acting in $\mathscr{H}_F$ and generated by A_θ has an explicit translation representation. It takes an explicit form and involving systems of boundary conditions, a system defined on functions f in $\mathscr{H}_F$, and involving both f and the distribution derivative f' at the two endpoints $x = 0$, and $x = a$.

We see from proposition 5.6 the unitary one-parameter group $\left\{U^\theta(t)\right\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ generated by A_θ must be translation acting on continuous functions h on $\mathbb{R}$ modulo

$$h(1) + h'(1) = e^{i\theta}\left(h(0) - h'(0)\right). \tag{5.55}$$

But we must therefore look at extensions from $[0, 1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$ of the function h s.t. $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$, $h\big|_{[0,1]} \in \mathscr{H}_F$ and satisfies (5.55). See figure 5.7.



FIGURE 5.7. Extensions preserving the boundary condition (5.55).

The extended function, also denoted h, satisfies

$$h(x+1) + h'(x+1) = e^{i\theta}\left(h(x) - h'(x)\right), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.56}$$

and further $h, h' \in \mathscr{H}_F$; see lemma 5.16. And the functions in (5.56) are invariant under $U^\theta(t) : h \mapsto h(\cdot + t)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$. See figure 5.8. Note this is an induced representation, see [Ørs79].



Extension of h from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$; quasi-periodic extension

Extension of h' from $[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{R}$; anti-quasi-periodic extension

FIGURE 5.8. $U^\theta(t) : h \mapsto h(x+t)$, $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$, modulo condition (5.55).

Example 5.45. It is useful to look at the classical example, $\frac{d}{dx}$ acting on $L^2(0,1)$ with domain $C_c^\infty(0,1)$. The selfadjoint extensions are parameterized by $e^{i\theta}$. Let $U^\theta(t) = e^{itA_\theta}$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, as before.

Note the following representation

$$\pi : C(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{(\theta)}, \quad \pi(\varphi) f := \varphi f$$

satisfies the covariance relation

$$U^{(\theta)}(t)\, \pi(\varphi)\, U^{(\theta)}(-t) = \pi(\varphi(\cdot + t)), \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, by the theorem of imprimitivity, there exists a unitary representation L of $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathscr{H}_F$, such that $U^{(\theta)} \simeq ind_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{R}}(L)$.

Now, let $L(n) := e^{in\theta}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be a one-dimensional representation of $\mathbb{Z}$. The unitary one-parameter group $\left\{T^{(\theta)}(t)\right\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is induced from L, i.e.,

$$T^{(\theta)} = ind_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{R}}\left(e^{i\theta}\right)$$

acting on

$$\mathscr{H}_{ind}^{(\theta)} = \left\{f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C},\ f(x+1) = e^{i\theta} f(x)\right\}, \text{ where}$$
$$\|f\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_{ind}^{(\theta)}} = \int_0^1 |f(x)|^2\, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} |f(x)|^2\, dx,\ \forall f \in \mathscr{H}_{ind}^{(\theta)};$$

such that

$$(T^{(\theta)}(t)) f(x) = f(x+t), \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Let

$$W^{(\theta)} : \mathscr{H}_{ind}^{(\theta)} \longrightarrow L^2(0,1), \quad (W^{(\theta)} f)(x) = f(x \mod \mathbb{Z})$$

then

$$U^{(\theta)}(t) = W^{(\theta)} U_{ind}^{(\theta)}(t)\, W^{(\theta)*}$$

i.e., we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathscr{H}_{ind}^{(\theta)} & \xrightarrow{W^{(\theta)}} & L^2(0,1) \\ {\scriptstyle T_t^{(\theta)}=U_{ind}^{(\theta)}(t)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle U^{(\theta)}(t)=e^{itA_\theta}} \\ \mathscr{H}_{ind}^{(\theta)} & \xrightarrow[W^{(\theta)}]{} & L^2(0,1) \end{array}$$

Return to $F(x)=e^{-|x|}$, $|x|<1$.

Consider the following two subspaces of $\mathscr{H}_F$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{D}_0 &:= dom(D_F) = \{F_\varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)\} \\ \mathscr{D}_\theta &:= dom(A_\theta) = \left\{f + c\left(e^{-x} + e^{i\theta}e^{x-1}\right) : f \in \mathscr{D}_0, c \in \mathbb{C}\right\} \\ &= \left\{h \in D_F^* : h(1) + h'(1) = e^{i\theta}(h(0) - h'(0))\right\} \end{aligned}$$

and note $\mathscr{D}_0 \subset \mathscr{D}_\theta$.

Definition 5.46. Let L be a subspace in $\mathscr{H}_F$ (e.g., $L=\mathscr{D}_0$ or $\mathscr{D}_\theta$), and let

$$Mul(L) = \left\{f \;\middle|\; fh \in L,\ \forall h \in L\right\}$$

where fh is point-wise product, i.e., $(fh)(x) = f(x)h(x)$. (Actually f must be a multiplier of $\mathscr{H}_F$ as well, i.e., we must have f satisfy that $fh \in \mathscr{H}_F$, $\forall h \in \mathscr{H}_F$.)

Theorem 5.47. *We have*

$$Mul(\mathscr{D}_0) = \{f \text{ s.t. } f'(0) = f'(1) = 0\};\ \textit{and} \tag{5.57}$$

$$Mul(\mathscr{D}_\theta) = \{f \in Mul(\mathscr{D}_0) \text{ s.t. } f(0) = f(1) = 0\}. \tag{5.58}$$

Proof.

1. Assume $h \in \mathscr{D}_0$, i.e., $h(0) - h'(0) = h(1) + h'(1) = 0$. Then,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}(\varphi h)(1) + (\varphi h)'(1) = 0 \\ (\varphi h)(0) - (\varphi h)'(0) = 0\end{array}\right\} \Longleftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}\varphi'(1)h(1) = 0 \\ \varphi'(0)h(0) = 0\end{array}\right\},\ \forall h \in \mathscr{D}_0;$$

this gives (5.57).

2. Suppose $\varphi \in Mul(\mathscr{D}_0)$, then $\varphi \in Mul(\mathscr{D}_\theta) \Longleftrightarrow \varphi(0) = \varphi(1)$. In fact, by assumption,

$$(\varphi h)'(b) = \varphi(b)h'(b),\ \forall b \in \{0,1\}$$

and $\varphi h \in Mul(\mathscr{D}_\theta) \Longleftrightarrow$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (\varphi h)'(1) + (\varphi h)'(1) & = & e^{i\theta}\left((\varphi h)(x) - (\varphi h)'(0)\right),\ \forall h \in Mul(\mathscr{D}_\theta) \\ & \Updownarrow & \\ \varphi(1)\left[h(1) + h'(1)\right] & = & e^{i\theta}\varphi(0)\left[h(0) - h'(0)\right],\ \forall h \in\in Mul(\mathscr{D}_\theta) \\ & \Updownarrow & \\ \varphi(1) & = & \varphi(0) \end{array}$$

Claim. $\mathscr{A} = \{\varphi : \varphi'(0) = \varphi'(1) = 0\}$ (i.e., $Mul(\mathscr{D}_0)$) is an algebra.

In fact, $(\varphi\psi)'(b) = \varphi'(b)\psi(b) + \varphi(b)\psi'(b) = 0$, if φ and ψ are in $\mathscr{A}$, $b \in \{0,1\}$. So $\mathscr{A}$ is an algebra.

□

Corollary 5.48. *If $\varphi \in Mul(\mathscr{D}_\theta)$ then*

$$U_\theta(t) M(\varphi) U_\theta(-t) = M(\varphi(\cdot + t)), \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $\varphi \in Mul$ i.e., $h \longrightarrow \varphi h$ is bounded in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Corollary 5.49. *If $\varphi \in \mathscr{A}_1 \cap \mathscr{A}_2$, then the action of $M(\varphi)$ on the boundary values is as follows*

$$\begin{pmatrix} h(0) - h'(0) \\ h(1) + h'(1) \end{pmatrix} \longmapsto \varphi(0) \begin{pmatrix} h(0) - h'(0) \\ h(1) + h'(1) \end{pmatrix}$$

(Recall $\varphi(0) = \varphi(1)$.)

5.6. Harmonic ONBs in the RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$: Complex Exponentials

Below we give some explicit results on orthonormal bases consisting of complex exponentials. For this purpose, let $\Lambda_\theta = \text{spectrum}(A_\theta)$ in our example $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$, i.e., (see corollary 5.7)

$$\Lambda_\theta = \left\{ e^{i\lambda x} \,\middle|\, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ s.t. } \lambda = \theta + \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{2\lambda}{\lambda^2 - 1}\right) + 2n\pi, \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \tag{5.59}$$

This covers, *mutatis mutandis*, the spectral picture for other examples of positive definite functions F, specified only on a fixed finite interval. And in each such case, if the indices of D_F are $(1,1)$, we then get, for every fixed value of θ, existence of systems of orthonormal bases $\{e_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda_\theta\}$ in the corresponding RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Conclusion: Corollary 5.35. While in classical Fourier analysis, the Fourier frequencies typically are sampled on a suitable arithmetic progression of points on the real line, this is not the case for our present case of harmonic bases in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

6. Elliptic Positive Definite Functions F

The study of locally defined positive definite continuous functions F (i.e., defined on some fixed bounded connected subset in $\mathbb{R}^d$) entails boundary value problems for, and extensions of, constant coefficient, strictly elliptic partial differential operators. This is explored in the present section. To state these connections, we will need a few basic facts about Sobolev spaces, which are first reviewed briefly.

6.1. A characterization of $\mathscr{H}_F$ for elliptic F in terms of the first Sobolev space of $(0,a)$

Definition 6.1. Let $F : (-a,a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous positive definite function defined on a finite interval $(-a,a)$, $a > 0$ fixed. We say that F is ***elliptic*** iff the first Sobolev space $H_1(0,a)$ is contained in $\mathscr{H}_F$; i.e., if every continuous function on $[0,a]$ such that $h' \in L^2(0,a)$ is in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Equivalently, there exists a second order elliptic operator $\mathscr{D}$, $T_F^{-1} \supset \mathscr{D}$, and a finite constant $C > 0$ s.t.

$$\left\langle h, T_F^{-1} h \right\rangle_{L^2(0,a)} \leq C \int_0^a \left(|h(x)|^2 + |h'(x)|^2 \right) dx, \ \forall h \in dom(T_F^{-1/2}). \tag{6.1}$$

Remark 6.2. T_F^{-1} is one of the selfadjoint extensions of $\mathscr{D}\big|_{C_c^\infty(0,a)}$, as a Hermitian operator in $L^2(0,a)$.

The following examples of F are elliptic.

Example 6.3. $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $-1 < x < 1$. A direct computation shows

$$(T_F\varphi)'' = T_F\varphi - 2\varphi \Longleftrightarrow \varphi = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 - \left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right) T_F\varphi \Longrightarrow T_F^{-1} \supset \underbrace{\tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 - \left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right)}_{=:\mathscr{D}}$$

For details, see lemma 5.13.

Example 6.4. $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $-\frac{1}{2} < x < \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, we have

$$(T_F\varphi)'' = -2\varphi \Longleftrightarrow \varphi = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2 T_F\varphi \Longrightarrow T_F^{-1} \supset \underbrace{-\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2}_{=:\mathscr{D}}$$

See example 5.23.

In the following, we give a spectral representation.

Remark 6.5. The operators

$$\mathscr{D}_1 := \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 - \left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right),\ \mathscr{D}_2 := -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2$$

are not selfadjoint in $L^2(0,a)$, $a = 1$ for $\mathscr{D}_1$, and $a = \frac{1}{2}$ for $\mathscr{D}_2$; but they have selfadjoint extensions. T_F^{-1} is one of these selfadjoint extensions. Also note that T_F is positive definite, hence T_F^{-1} is also positive definite.

Proof of T_F p.d. $\Longrightarrow$ T_F^{-1} p.d. On $L^2(0,a) \ominus \ker(T_F)$, we have

$$T_F = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k P_k \tag{6.2}$$

where $\{P_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ are the spectral projections of T_F, and $\lambda_k > 0$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$\sum_k \lambda_k = \operatorname{trace}(T_F) = a. \tag{6.3}$$

So

$$T_F^{-1} = \sum_k \lambda_k^{-1} P_k \tag{6.4}$$

is well-defined, and

$$\left\langle f, T_F^{-1} f\right\rangle_2 = \sum_k \lambda_k^{-1} \|P_k f\|_2^2 \geq 0,\ \forall f \in dom\left(T_F^{-1}\right).$$

Note

$$dom\left(T_F^{-1}\right) = \left\{ f : \sum_k \lambda_k^{-2} \|P_k f\|_2^2 < \infty \right\} \tag{6.5}$$

by the spectral theorem. □

Theorem 6.6. *If $F : (-a,a) \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous, positive definite, and elliptic, then*

$$\left\{ h : \int_0^1 \left(|h(x)|^2 + |h'(x)|^2\right) dx < \infty \right\} \subseteq \mathscr{H}_F. \tag{6.6}$$

Proof. We must prove that if

$$\int_0^a \left(|h(x)|^2 + |h'(x)|^2\right) dx < \infty, \tag{6.7}$$

then $\exists C < \infty$ s.t.

$$\left|\int_0^a h(x)\,\varphi(x)\,dx\right|^2 \leq C\,\|F_\varphi\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F},\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a). \tag{6.8}$$

But we have that

$$F_\varphi = T_F\varphi,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a) \subset L^2(0,a) \tag{6.9}$$

where T_F is the Mercer operator. Now,

$$\langle h, \varphi\rangle_2 = \left\langle h, T_F^{-1}T_F\varphi\right\rangle_2$$

and if $h, h' \in L^2(0,a)$, then $\exists C < \infty$ s.t.

$$\left\|T_F^{-1/2}h\right\|_2^2 \underset{(6.1)}{\leq} C\int_0^a \left(|h(x)|^2 + |h'(x)|^2\right) dx,\ \forall h \in \underbrace{H_1(0,a)}_{\text{Soblev}_1}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle h,\varphi\rangle_2|^2 &= \left|\left\langle h, T_F^{-1}T_F\varphi\right\rangle_2\right|^2 \\
&= \left|\left\langle T_F^{-1/2}h, T_F^{1/2}\varphi\right\rangle_2\right|^2 \\
&\leq \left\|T_F^{-1/2}h\right\|_2^2 \left\|T_F^{1/2}\varphi\right\|_2^2 \\
&\leq C\left(\int_0^a |h|^2 + |h'|^2\right)\langle\varphi, T_F\varphi\rangle_2 \\
&= \text{const}\,\|F_\varphi\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6.2. **If F is elliptic then the operator D_F has indices $(1,1)$**

Corollary 6.7. *Let $F : (-a,a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be an elliptic continuous p.d. function and set $D_F(F_\varphi) = F_{\varphi'}$, $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a)$; then D_F has deficiency indices $(1,1)$ as a skew Hermitian operator in the RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$.*

Below, we give a more direct argument for why D_F in $\mathscr{H}_F$ has deficiency indices $(1,1)$ in the case where $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $-\frac{1}{2} < x < \frac{1}{2}$.

Recall $\mathscr{H}_F$ consists of continuous function on $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$, so in particular, certain restrictions of continuous functions of $\mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 6.8. *Let $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$, then a continuous function h on $\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ is in $\mathscr{H}_F$ iff $h' \in L^2\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ where $h' =$ the distributional derivative.*

Proof. Let T_F be the Mercer operator, i.e., $T_F : L^2\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \to L^2\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and

$$(T_F\varphi)(x) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dy,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(0, \tfrac{1}{2}\right).$$

Recall T_F is bounded, positive definite, selfadjoint, and trace class, $\mathit{trace}(T_F) = \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $T_F^{1/2}$ and T_F^{-1} are well-defined, but T_F^{-1} is unbounded. We showed, in

example 5.23, that T_F^{-1} is a selfadjoint extension of $-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2$ with dense domain $C_c^\infty\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$ in $L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

Now assume $h' \in L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$. Then for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \overline{h(x)}\varphi(x)\,dx\right|^2 &= \left|\left\langle h, T_F^{-1}T_F\varphi\right\rangle_{L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right|^2 \\ &= \left|\langle T_F^{-1/2}h, \underbrace{T_F^{-1/2}T_F}_{T_F^{1/2}}\varphi\rangle_{L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}\right|^2 \\ &\le \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}\left\|h'\right\|^2_{L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}}_{=:C}\left\|T_F^{1/2}\varphi\right\|^2_{L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\ &= C\left\langle\varphi, T_F\varphi\right\rangle_{L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} = C\left\|T_F\varphi\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}.\end{aligned}$$

As a result (application of Riesz' theorem to $\mathscr{H}_F$), we conclude that the function h represents a unique element in $\mathscr{H}_F$; i.e., we proved that

$$h' \in L^2\left(0,\tfrac{1}{2}\right) \Longrightarrow h \in \mathscr{H}_F.$$

□

Corollary 6.9. *Let $F(x) = 1-|x|$, $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$. The two functions $e^{\pm x}\big|_{\left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right]}$ are in $\mathscr{H}_F$. In particular, D_F has deficiency $(1,1)$.*

Proof. An application of lemma 6.8. □

Remark 6.10. The proof of lemma 6.8 shows that the converse implication holds as well: If a continuous function h on $\left[0,\frac{1}{2}\right]$ is in $\mathscr{H}_F$, then it follows that its distributional derivative h' is in $L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$.

The argument applies to every positive definite continuous function F such that the corresponding Mercer operator T_F has T_F^{-1} be an extension of a second order elliptic differential operator.

BELOW WE PROVE THE FOLLOWING ASSERTIONS:

6.3. **If F is elliptic then its distribution derivative has a Dirac discontinuity at $x = 0$**

6.4. **If F is elliptic then there is an associated system of linear conditions for the kernel functions for $\mathscr{H}_F$ at the two endpoints 0 and a**

6.5. **Two examples $F = e^{-|x|}$, and $F = 1-|x|$, on the respective intervals, are elliptic**

6.6. **Translation representation for the unitary one-parameter groups $U(t)$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$**

Corollary 6.11. *If $F : (-a,a) \to \mathbb{C}$ is an elliptic continuous positive definite function, $0 < a < \infty$; then the dense domain $\{F_\varphi\}_{\varphi\in C_c^\infty(0,a)}$, i.e, $\mathrm{dom}(D_F) \subset \mathscr{H}_F$, is given by a rank-2 subspace of $\mathbb{C}^4$ in the form*

$$\left(h(0), h'(0), h(a), h'(a)\right).$$

The unitary one-parameter group $\left\{U^{(\theta)}(t)\right\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ *in* $\mathscr{H}_F$ *generated by skew-adjoint extensions of* D_F *(in* $\mathscr{H}_F$*) are determined by a pair of boundary conditions:*

$$h\in\mathscr{H}_F \longleftrightarrow \{h,h'\}\subset L^2(0,a)\oplus L^2(0,a).$$

When θ *is fixed, then there is a closed subspace* $\mathscr{L}^{(\theta)}$ *in* $L^2(0,a)\oplus L^2(0,a)$ *such that*

$$h\longmapsto U^{(\theta)}(t)\,h \text{ in } \mathscr{H}_F$$

is equivalent to a translation representation

$$\left(T_t^\theta, S_t^\theta\right) \;:\; \left\{\begin{array}{c} h\longmapsto T_t^{(\theta)}h(x)=h(x+t) \\ h'\longmapsto S_t^{(\theta)}h'(x)=h'(x+t)\end{array}\right\} \text{ in } \mathscr{L}^{(\theta)},\ \forall s,t\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Example 6.12 (Greens-Gauss-Stokes)**.** Recall the elliptic operator $-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2$ in $L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is defined initially on $C_c^\infty\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$; it is densely defined and Hermitian, but not selfadjoint. To get selfadjoint extensions, we look for dense subspace $\mathscr{L}\subset L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$ s.t.

$$\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} h''(x)\,k(x)\,dx-\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} h(x)\,k''(x)\,dx=[h'k-hk']_{bd}\equiv 0,\ \forall h,k\in\mathscr{L};$$

where $[F]_{bd}:=F[0]-F[1/2]$, applied to $F=h'k-hk'$, $\forall h,k\in\mathscr{L}$.

Now fix $F=1-|x|$, $|x|<\frac{1}{2}$, consider the Mercer operator

$$(T_F\varphi)(x)=\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(y)\,F(x-y)\,dy=\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(y)\,(1-|x-y|)\,dy$$

and set $\mathscr{L}=\mathscr{L}_F=\left\{T_F\varphi \,\middle|\, \varphi\in C_c^\infty\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}$. We may restrict to real-valued functions since F is real-valued. Set $h=T_F\varphi$, and $k=T_F\psi$. Then,

$$h(0)=\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-y)\,\varphi(y)\,dy \qquad h'(0)=\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(y)\,dy$$
$$h\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)=\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\tfrac{1}{2}+y\right)\varphi(y)\,dy \qquad h'\left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)=-\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(y)\,dy$$

And

$$[h'k-hk']_{bd}=\tfrac{3}{2}\left(\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi-\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi\int_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\psi\right)=0.$$

Hence T_F^{-1} is the unique selfadjoint extension of $-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^2\big|_{C_c^\infty\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)}$ in $L^2\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)$ corresponding to the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{cases} h'(0)+h'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=0 \\ h(0)+h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{3}{2}h'(0)\end{cases} \tag{6.10}$$

Suppose $T_Fh=\lambda h$, then $-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda h)''=h$, and h satisfies (6.10). Let $k^2=-\frac{2}{\lambda}$, we have $h=Ae^{ikx}+B^{-ikx}$, such that

$$\left(1+e^{ik/2}\right)A-\left(1+e^{-ik/2}\right)B=0$$
$$A\left(1+e^{ik/2}-\tfrac{3}{2}ik\right)+\left(1+e^{-ik/2}+\tfrac{3}{2}ik\right)B=0$$

Setting the determinant of the coefficient matrix to zero, we then get

$$\tan(k/2) = \frac{4}{3k}. \tag{6.11}$$

Recall that P is a positive polynomial if $P(\xi) = \overline{Q(\xi)}Q(\xi)$, $\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}$. (In one variable, every $P \geq 0$ is a square; in higher dimensions, sums of squares.)

Lemma 6.13. *Fix $a > 0$. Let P be a positive polynomial (in one variable), and set*

$$\mathscr{D}^{(P)} = P\left(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{d}{dx}\right) \text{ on } C_c^\infty(0,a) \subset L^2(0,a)$$

and pick a fundamental solution $F = F^{(P)}$, so

$$\mathscr{D}^{(P)} T_{F^{(P)}}\varphi = \varphi,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a).$$

Then, $F^{(P)}$ is positive definite, and is extendible.

Example 6.14. Let

$$\mathscr{D}_2 = -\tfrac{1}{2}\left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\Big|_{C_c^\infty\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right)} \text{ in } L^2\left(0,\tfrac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathscr{D}_3 = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(I - \left(\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)^2\right)\Big|_{C_c^\infty(0,1)} \text{ in } L^2(0,1)$$

with the corresponding fundamental solutions

$$F_2(x) = 1 - |x|$$

$$F_3(x) = e^{-|x|};$$

i.e.,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathscr{D}_2 F_2(\cdot - x) = \delta_x \\ \mathscr{D}_3 F_3(\cdot - x) = \delta_x\end{array}\right\} \Longleftrightarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}\mathscr{D}_2 T_{F_2}\varphi = \varphi,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(0,\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \mathscr{D}_3 T_{F_3}\varphi = \varphi,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)\end{array}\right\}$$

Corollary 6.15. *Let $F = F^{(P)}$, P is positive, then*

$$T_{F^{(P)}} : L^2(0,a) \to L^2(0,a), \text{ by}$$

$$\left(T_{F^{(P)}}\varphi\right)(x) = \int_0^a \varphi(y) F^{(P)}(x-y)\, dy$$

is positive definite, selfadjoint, and trace class. Moreover,

$$T_{F^{(P)}}^{-1} \supset P\left(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)\Big|_{C_c^\infty(0,a)}.$$

Setting

$$h = T_{F^{(P)}}\varphi, \text{ and } k = T_{F^{(P)}}\psi,$$

then

$$W = \overline{Q\left(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)h}\, k - \overline{h}\, Q\left(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{d}{dx}\right)k$$

satisfying

$$W(0) = W(a)$$

by Greens-Gauss-Stokes principle.

Example 6.16. Let $F_1(x) = 1 - |x|$ in the interval $\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and let F_1' and F_1'' be the corresponding distribution derivatives. Let H bet the Heaviside function

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{cases} F_1' = 1 - 2H, \text{ and} \\ F_1'' = -2\delta_0. \end{cases} \tag{6.12}$$

Proof. This is immediate from elementary Schwartz to distribution calculus. □

Example 6.17. Let $F_2(x) = e^{-|x|}$ in the interval $(-1, 1)$; then for the respective distributional derivatives F_2' and F_2'' we have

$$\begin{cases} F_2'(x) = (1 - 2H(x))\, e^{-|x|}, \text{ and} \\ F_2''(x) = F_2(x) - 2\delta(x - 0). \end{cases} \tag{6.13}$$

Proposition 6.18. *Let $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be continuous and positive definite. Suppose F is elliptic with $F = F^{(P)}$ and*

$$P\left(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{d}{dx}\right) T_F\varphi = \varphi,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, a); \tag{6.14}$$

then

$$\left(P\left(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{d}{dx}\right) F\right)(x) = \delta(x - 0); \tag{6.15}$$

in particular F' is discontinuous at $x = 0$.

Proof. Given F as above, let $P = P\left(\frac{1}{i}\frac{d}{dx}\right)$ be the corresponding elliptic PDO. It has an even-degree, say $2m$, leading term $\pm\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{2m}$. Since $T_F\varphi = \varphi * F$, $\forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, a)$, we get

$$\varphi * \delta_0 = \varphi = \varphi * P\left(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{d}{dx}\right) F;$$

and therefore

$$\delta_0 = P\left(\tfrac{1}{i}\tfrac{d}{dx}\right) F = \cdots \pm F^{(2m)}$$

from which the assertion follows. □

Remark 6.19. **Higher dimensions.** The extension from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ when $n > 1$ is subtle for a number of reasons:

(i) Rather than just a single Hermitian (symmetric) operator $D^{(F)} : F_\varphi \longmapsto \frac{1}{i}F_{\varphi'}$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$, we will need to consider partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$, where $n > 1$; so a system of unbounded operators:

$$D^{(F)} : F_\varphi \longmapsto F_{\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_k}},$$

defined for $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a given open domain.

(ii) Even for the example $n = 1$, of $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$ in $|x| < 1$; passing to high dimensions yields difficulties as follows: If $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$, and $|x| = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2\right)^{1/2}$; then

$$e^{-|x|} = \mathscr{F}_\lambda\left(\frac{2^n \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)}{\left(1 + |\lambda|^2\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}\right)(x)$$

where $\mathscr{F}$ denotes Fourier transform in $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e.,

$$e^{-|x|} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} 2^n \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \frac{e^{i\lambda x}}{\left(1 + |\lambda|^2\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_n.$$

(iii) If $F = e^{-|x|}$ is on open neighborhood $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\triangle = \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k}\right)^2$ is the Laplacian, it follows from (ii) that

$$\frac{1}{2^n}\left(1-\triangle\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} F = \delta_0$$

taken in the sense of Schwartz distribution; equivalently

$$\frac{1}{2^n}\left(I-\triangle\right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} T_F\varphi = \varphi,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(\Omega\right),$$

and where T_F is an n-dimension Mercer operator

$$\left(T_F\varphi\right)(x) = \int_\Omega \varphi\left(y\right) F\left(x-y\right) dy,\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(\Omega\right).$$

Note as before, $\mathscr{H}_F$ is the RKHS obtained by completion of

$$\left\|T_F\varphi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}^2 := \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \overline{\varphi\left(x\right)}\varphi\left(y\right) F\left(x-y\right) dxdy.$$

Theorem 6.20. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and connected and let $F : \Omega - \Omega \to \mathbb{C}$ be positive definite. Suppose there is a $\mu \in \mathscr{M}\left(\mathbb{R}^n\right)$, $\mu \in Ext\left(F\right)$ such that μ has compact support in $\mathbb{R}^n$; then all the n operators*

$$D_k^{(F)} : F_\varphi \longmapsto \frac{1}{i} F_{\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial x_k}},\ k = 1, \ldots, n \tag{6.16}$$

are bounded in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Proof. We need the following:

Lemma 6.21. *Let F, Ω, $\mathscr{H}_F$, and $\mu \in Ext\left(F\right)$ be as stated in the theorem; then*

$$\left\|F_\varphi\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(\lambda\right)\right|^2 d\mu\left(\lambda\right) \tag{6.17}$$

where $\lambda = \left(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\right) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\widehat{\varphi} =$ the $\mathbb{R}^n$-Fourier transform, and $\varphi \in C_c\left(\Omega\right)$.

Proof. Let F, $\mathscr{H}_F$, μ, and $\varphi \in C_c\left(\Omega\right)$ be as stated in the lemma, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\text{LHS}\right)_{(6.17)} &= \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \overline{\varphi\left(x\right)}\varphi\left(y\right) F\left(x-y\right) dxdy \\
&= \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \overline{\varphi\left(x\right)}\varphi\left(y\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i(x-y)\lambda} d\mu\left(\lambda\right) dxdy \ \left(\text{since } \mu \in Ext\left(F\right)\right) \\
&\underset{\text{(by Fubini)}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\int_\Omega \varphi\left(x\right) e^{-ix\lambda} dx\right|^2 d\mu\left(\lambda\right) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(\lambda\right)\right|^2 d\mu\left(\lambda\right) = \left(\text{RHS}\right)_{(6.17)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of theorem 6.20 continued

Now let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(\Omega\right)$, then by the lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\left\langle F_\varphi, D_k^{(F)} F_\varphi\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F}\right| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k \left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(\lambda\right)\right|^2 d\mu\left(\lambda\right)\right| \\
&\leq \operatorname{diam}\left(\operatorname{suppt}\left(\mu\right)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left|\widehat{\varphi}\left(\lambda\right)\right|^2 d\mu\left(\lambda\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{suppt}(\mu)) \|F_\varphi\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} \text{ (by lemma 6.21)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{suppt}(\mu))\\ &= \inf\left\{k \in \mathbb{R}_+ \,\middle|\, \operatorname{suppt}(\mu) \subset \{\lambda : |\lambda| \le k\}\right\} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.22. *The functions*

$$F_k(x) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}\right)^k,\ k = 1, 2, \dots$$

used in the theory of B-splines, and in Shannon-sampling have this property: That is, if F_k is defined on an interval $(-a, a)$, then the corresponding Hermitian symmetric operators $D^{(F_k)}$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$ are all bounded, in fact

$$\left\|D^{(F_k)}(u)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \le \frac{k}{2}\|u\|_{\mathscr{H}_F} \tag{6.18}$$

holds for all $u \in \mathscr{H}_F$.

Proof. Fix k, then $D^{(F_k)}$ is defined on its dense domain by

$$D^{(F_k)}(T_F\varphi) = \frac{1}{i}T_{F_k}\varphi',\ \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, a).$$

The bound $k/2$ on the RHS in (6.18) arises as follows: Let $B = \chi_{\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}$, then

$$F_k(x) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}\right)^k = \underbrace{(B * \cdots * B)}_{\text{k times}}{}^\wedge.$$

□

Remark 6.23. Note that the operator bound $k/2$ on the RHS in (6.18) is independent on the size of the interval $(-a, a)$ where F_k is specified.

7. Extension from finite or countably infinite subsets

In this section, we consider extension of positive definite functions defined, initially, only on some fixed finite or countably infinite subset of $\mathbb{R}$, or of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

An understanding of this problem is of use in a variety of optimization problems, for example, in the determination of suitable families of splines (from numerical analysis) involving a choice of a penalty term.

In sampling theory, and in the theory of splines it is of interest to extend positive definite functions F defined on discrete subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, finite, or infinite.

Below we consider this problem: Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a countably discrete subset and let

$$F : S - S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{7.1}$$

be a positive definite function, defined on $S - S = \left\{x - y \,\middle|\, x, y \in S\right\}$, i.e., we have for all finite summation, $\{c_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}^{\text{finite}}$, $\{s_k\} \subset S$,

$$\sum_j \sum_k \overline{c_j} c_k F(s_j - s_k) \ge 0, \tag{7.2}$$

and let $\mathscr{H}_F$ denote the corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space (of functions on S) w.r.t.

$$\langle F(\cdot - s), F(\cdot - t)\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = F(s-t), \ \forall s,t \in S. \tag{7.3}$$

Theorem 7.1. *Let S and F be as above, i.e., F is a fixed positive definite function defined on $S - S$, and for $s \in S$, set $F(\cdot - s) =: F_s$. Then F has a continuous positive definite extension to all of $\mathbb{R}$ if and only if there is a finite Borel measure μ on $\mathbb{R}$ such that the assignment $W = W_{(\mu)}$, $W(F_s) = e^{is\cdot}$, $s \in S$, extends to an isometric linear operator*

$$\widetilde{W} : \mathscr{H}_F \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu). \tag{7.4}$$

Proof. **Step 1.** Suppose F has a continuous positive definite extension, say G, to $\mathbb{R}$, as

$$F(x) = G(x), \ \forall s \in S - S; \tag{7.5}$$

and let μ be the corresponding Borel measure (which exists by Bochner's theorem) such that

$$G(x) = G_\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\lambda} d\mu(\lambda), \ x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now we use (7.3) to compute the $\mathscr{H}_F$-norm of

$$\sum_k c_k F_{s_k} \tag{7.6}$$

for any finite sum, $\{c_k\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, $\{s_k\} \subset S$, where the summation in (7.6) is assumed finite. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_k c_k F_{s_k} \right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} &= \sum_j \sum_k \overline{c_j} c_k F(s_j - s_k) \\
&\underset{\text{(by 7.5)}}{=} \sum_j \sum_k \overline{c_j} c_k G_\mu(s_j - s_k) \\
&\underset{\text{(by 7.6)}}{=} \sum_j \sum_k \overline{c_j} c_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i(s_j - s_k)\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_k c_k e^{is_k\lambda} \right|^2 d\mu(\lambda).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, introducing $W = W_\mu$ as in (7.4),

$$W_\mu(F_s) := e^{is\cdot} \tag{7.7}$$

as a function on $\mathbb{R}$, it follows that W_μ extends by linearity and norm-closure $\mathscr{H}_F \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ to yield a well-defined isometry from $\mathscr{H}_F$ into $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mu)$ with the stated properties.

Step 2. The converse implication is an immediate consequence of the argument above: If an isometry W_μ exists as in the statement of the theorem, see (7.7), then a measure μ exists having the stated properties. Then set $G = G_\mu$, $L =$ the positive definite function from (7.6). We claim that (7.5) holds, i.e., that

$$F(s_1 - s_2) = G_\mu(s_1 - s_2), \ \forall s_1, s_2 \in S. \tag{7.8}$$

Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$\sum_j \sum_k \overline{c_j} c_k F(s_j - s_k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sum_j c_j e^{is_j\lambda} \right|^2 d\mu(\lambda) \tag{7.9}$$

holds.

But both sides in (7.9) is a quadratic from on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Since the respective quadratic forms on the two sides in (7.9) agree, it follows that the extensions must agree, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} F(s_j - s_k) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-is_j\lambda} e^{is_k\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i(s_j - s_k)\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) = G_\mu(s_j - s_k) \end{aligned}$$

which is the desired conclusion (7.8). □

8. Some ONBs in $\mathscr{H}_F$

In this section we give a "divided-differences" algorithm for constructing particular orthonormal bases (ONB) in the RKHSs $\mathscr{H}_F$ built from a fixed continuous p.d. function F in a bounded interval J. Our ONB-algorithm is based on operations on a dyadic multiresolution in the interval J. Our applications include B-splines.

Fix $a > 0$. Let $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous positive definite (p.d.) function, and assume $F(0) = 1$. We construct an orthonormal basis in the corresponding reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) $\mathscr{H}_F$. To simplify the notations, we assume F is real-valued.

Recall that, by continuity, F extends uniquely to the endpoints $x = \pm a$. Set

$$F_x(y) = F(x - y), \ \forall x, y \in [0, a]. \tag{8.1}$$

Proposition 8.1. *Fix $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ p.d., continuous. Let $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the corresponding RKHS. If $S \subset [0, a]$ is a dense subset, then $\{F_s\}_{s\in S}$ is total, i.e., the $\mathscr{H}_F$-closed subspace of $\{F_s\}_{s\in S}$ is $\mathscr{H}_F$.*

Proof. We must show that if $h \in \mathscr{H}_F$ and $\langle F_s, h\rangle = 0$, $\forall s \in S \Longrightarrow h = 0$.

Note $\langle F_s, h\rangle = h(s) = 0$, $\forall s \in S$. Since h is uniformly continuous on $[0, a]$ by general theory, it follows that $h = 0$ on $[0, a]$ point-wise. Then,

$$0 = \langle h, F_\varphi\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \int_0^1 \overline{h(x)} \varphi(x)\, dx = 0;$$

i.e., $h \perp \{F_\varphi\}_{\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,a)} \Longrightarrow h = 0$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$. □

Lemma 8.2. *Let $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous p.d. function, s.t. $F(0) = 1$. Define $F_x(y) := F(x - y)$, for all $x, y \in [0, a]$. Let*

$$RA_2^+ := \left\{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{7}{8}, \frac{1}{16} \ldots, \frac{k}{2^n}, \ldots\right\} \text{ where} \tag{8.2}$$

$$S(n) := \{k : k = 1, 3, \ldots, \ (odd) < 2^n\}, \ n \geq 1. \tag{8.3}$$

Suppose the set $\left\{F_x \,\middle|\, x \in aRA_2^+\right\}$ is linearly independent, then we have an ONB as follows:

$h_0 := F_0$

$$h_1 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-F^2(a)}}\left(F_a - F(a)F_0\right)$$

$$h_{n,k} := \sqrt{\frac{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2^{n-1}}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2^{n-1}}\right)-2F^2\left(\frac{a}{2^n}\right)}}\left(F_{\frac{k\,a}{2^n}} - \frac{F\left(\frac{a}{2^n}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2^{n-1}}\right)}\left(F_{\frac{(k-1)a}{2^n}} + F_{\frac{(k+1)a}{2^n}}\right)\right)$$

for all $k \in S(n)$ *(eq. (8.2)), and* $n = 1, 2, \ldots$*; see table 8.2.*

Remark 8.3. In the examples we use in the present paper the assumption of linear independence is satisfied, i.e., the set $\left\{F_x \,\middle|\, x \in aRA_2^+\right\}$ is linearly independent in $\mathscr{H}_F$.

Proof of lemma 8.2. Applying the Gram-Schmidt process to (8.2) yields the desired ONB. Note F_0 is a unit vector in $\mathscr{H}_F$, since $\langle F_0, F_0\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = F(0-0) = F(0) = 1$. □

Remark 8.4. Let RA_2^+ and $S(n)$ be as in lemma 8.2. The Gram-Schmidt process represents a transformation

$$\left\{F_x \,\middle|\, x \in aRA_2^+\right\} \longmapsto h_x,\ x = \frac{ak}{2^n}$$

by an $\infty \times \infty$ bounded matrix of *tridiagonal* form:

	0	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{5}{8}$	$\frac{7}{8}$	$\cdots$
0	*	*	*	*		*				
1		*	*		*				*	
$\frac{1}{2}$			*	*	*		*	*		
$\frac{1}{4}$				*		*	*			
$\frac{3}{4}$					*			*	*	
$\frac{1}{8}$			0			*				
$\frac{3}{8}$							*			
$\frac{5}{8}$								*		
$\frac{7}{8}$									*	
$\vdots$										$\ddots$

Hermitian *tridiagonal* matrices are called Jacobi matrices. Jacobi matrices define Hermitian operators in l^2, which automatically must have indices $(0,0)$ or $(1,1)$. For background on Jacobi-matrices, banded matrices, and more general "sparse" infinite by infinite matrices, we refer to [Akh65]. For their use in physics, see [Jør77].

Remark 8.5. Our algorithm for the ONB in $\mathscr{H}_F$ uses an analogue of "divided differences" from numerical analysis [Gau13], as well as the Gram-Schmidt algorithm used in the theory of orthogonal polynomials [Akh65], and more generally orthogonal functions. There, one expresses the Gram-Schmidt algorithm with the use of suitable tri-diagonal infinite by infinite matrices, so a band of numbers down the infinite diagonal, and zeroes off the band.

Our present matrix is analogous (see Remark 8.4): It is sparse, but with a slightly different sparsely-pattern.

For other uses of tri-diagonal infinite by infinite matrices in classical moment problems, see [Akh65].

Corollary 8.6. *Any $f \in \mathscr{H}_F$ can be expanded as*

$$f(x) = c_0 h_0(x) + c_1 h_1(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in S(n)} c_{n,k} h_{n,k}(x), \ \forall x \in [0,a]; \ \textit{where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= f(0) \\ c_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-F^2(a)}} \left(f(a) - F(a) f(0)\right) \\ c_{n,k} &= \sqrt{\frac{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2^{n-1}}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2^{n-1}}\right)-2F^2\left(\frac{a}{2^n}\right)}} \left[f\left(\frac{k\,a}{2^n}\right) - \right. \\ &\left. \frac{F\left(\frac{a}{2^n}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2^{n-1}}\right)} \left(f\left(\frac{(k-1)\,a}{2^n}\right) + f\left(\frac{(k+1)\,a}{2^n}\right)\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\|f\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in s(n)} |c_{n,k}|^2 . \tag{8.4}$$

Note, $S(n)$, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$, is as in lemma 8.2, eq. (8.3).

Proof. This follows from the reproducing property in $\mathscr{H}_F$. Also note $\mathscr{H}_F$ consists of continuous functions on $[0, a]$. □

Corollary 8.7. *Let f be any continuous on $[0, a]$, then:*

$$f \in \mathscr{H}_F \iff |c_0|^2 + |c_1|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \in s(n)} |c_{n,k}|^2 < \infty; \tag{8.5}$$

where the coefficients (depend on f) are given in corollary 8.6.

Proof. Immediate. □

Example 8.8. Consider $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $x \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, and let $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the corresponding RKHS. Following the construction in (8.2), we get the ONB in $\mathscr{H}_F$; see table 8.3. Figure 8.1 below shows the first 5 functions in table 8.3.



FIGURE 8.1. $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$.

Corollary 8.9. *Let $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $x \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Let $D_F(F_\varphi) := F_{\varphi'}$, defined on $\left\{F_\varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}$, as a skew Hermitian operator in $\mathscr{H}_F$. Then D_F has deficiency indices $(1,1)$ in $\mathscr{H}_F$.*

Proof. Expand the defect vectors $e^{\pm x}$ in the ONB from lemma 8.2, we check numerically the series (for both functions) in (8.5) is convergent. In fact, by (8.4), we have

$$\|e^x\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 2.76598$$
$$\left\|e^{-x}\right\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = 1.01755.$$

Therefore $e^{\pm x}$ are in $\mathscr{H}_F$, and D_F has indices $(1,1)$. See also theorem 8.15 below. □

Example 8.10. For $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in (-1,1)$, lemma 8.2 yields an ONB in $\mathscr{H}_F$; see table 8.4. Figure 8.2 blow shows the first 5 functions in table 8.4.



FIGURE 8.2. $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$

Corollary 8.11. *Let $F = e^{-|x|}$, $|x| < 1$, and $\mathscr{H}_F$ be the corresponding RKHS. Define $D_F(F_\varphi) := F_{\varphi'}$, for all $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(0,1)$ as before, so D_F is skew Hermitian in $\mathscr{H}_F$. Then*

$$\left\|e^{-x}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}} = \left\|e^{x-1}\right\|_{\mathscr{H}} = 1.$$

Proof. Expand the functions e^{-x} and e^{x-1} in the ONB in table 8.4, and we check numerically the series in (8.5) (for both functions) converges to 1. □

Remark 8.12. In the case $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in (-1,1)$, the defect vectors are F_0 and F_1, i.e., the kernels themselves; and so they both have norm 1 in $\mathscr{H}_F$. In fact, by the reproducing property,

$$\|F_s\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle F_s, F_s\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = F(s-s) = F(0) = 1,\ \forall x \in [0,1].$$

The purpose of corollary 8.11 is to offer a numerical evidence and to illustrate the use of the ONB from table 8.4.

Lemma 8.13. *Let $F : (-a,a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous p.d. function, and assume $F(0) = 1$. Let S be any countable subset of $[0,a]$, such that*

$$\Lambda_S := \left\{F_s \,\middle|\, s \in S\right\}$$

is linearly independent. Set

$$L := \overline{span\,\Lambda_S} \subset \mathscr{H}_F,$$

and let P_L be the projection from $\mathscr{H}_F$ onto L. Then, for all $f \in \mathscr{H}_F$,

$$f(s) = (P_L f)(s), \quad \forall s \in S \tag{8.6}$$

i.e., f and $P_L f$ coincide at the lattice points in S.

Proof. Pick $s_0 \in S$. Set $h_0 := F_{s_0}$. Note $\|h_0\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle F_{s_0}, F_{s_0}\rangle = F(s_0 - s_0) = F(0) = 1$.

Apply Gram-Schmidt process to Λ_S yields an ONB in L as $\{h_k \mid k = 0, 1, \ldots\}$. Then,

$$P_L f = \sum_{k\geq 0} \langle h_k, f\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} h_k = f(s_0) \underbrace{h_0}_{=F_{s_0}} + \sum_{k\geq 1} \langle h_k, f\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} h_k, \text{ and so}$$

$$(P_L f)(s_0) = \langle F_{s_0}, P_L f\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = \langle h_{s_0}, P_L f\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_F} = f(s_0),$$

which is the assertion in (8.6). □

B-Splines. Example 8.8 follows from the general construction of B-splines. For background on box-splines, we refer to [MU03]. Below we illustration its connection to our extension problem of locally defined p.d. functions.

Set $F_1 := \chi_{\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]}$, i.e., the indicator function on the interval $\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]$. Taking Fourier transform, we see that

$$F_1(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda x} d\mu_1(\lambda), \text{ where}$$

$$d\mu_1(\lambda) := \frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi\lambda} d\lambda.$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $F_n := F_1 * \cdots * F_1$ be the n-fold convolution of F_1, and so

$$d\mu_n(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi\lambda}\right)^n d\lambda.$$

Note that

$$d\mu_{2k}(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi\lambda}\right)^{2k} d\lambda$$

is a positive measure in $\mathbb{R}$, so by Bochner's theorem F_{2k} is positive definite. See figure 8.3 below.



FIGURE 8.3. F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4

The functions in figure 8.3 are defined as

$$F_1(x) = \chi_{\left[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right]}(x)$$

$$F_2(x) = (1-|x|)_+ = \max(0, 1-|x|)$$

$$F_3(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\left(3-4|x|^2\right) & |x| < \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{8}\left(4|x|^2 - 12|x| + 9\right) & \frac{1}{2} < |x| < \frac{3}{2} \\ 0 & |x| \geq \frac{3}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$F_4(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6}\left(3|x|^3 - 6|x|^2 + 4\right) & |x| < 1 \\ \frac{1}{6}\left(-|x|^3 + 6|x|^2 - 12|x| + 8\right) & 1 \leq |x| < 2 \\ 0 & |x| \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Lemma 8.14. *Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, then the support of F_{2k} is in $[-k, k]$.*

Proof. Note $F_2(x) = (1-|x|)_+ = \max(0, 1-|x|)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $suppt(F_2) \subset [-1, 1]$. The lemma follows from the fact that $suppt(G * H) \subseteq suppt(G) + suppt(H)$, for all $G, H \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$. □

Note the restriction of F_2 to $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ is the p.d. function in example 8.8. The skew Hermitian operator D_F has deficiency indices $(1,1)$ in the corresponding RKHS $\mathscr{H}_{F_2}$.

However, the truncation of $F_4 = F_2 * F_2$ to $\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ has indices $(0,0)$. See table 8.1 below.

The following theorem applies to D_F in the cases stated above:

Theorem 8.15. *Let $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous p.d. function, and let $\mu \in Ext(F)$. Define $D_F(F_\varphi) = F_{\varphi'}$ on $\{F_\varphi : \varphi \in C_c^\infty(0, a)\}$, as a skew-Hermitian operator acting in the RKHS $\mathscr{H}_F$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^2 d\mu(\lambda) = \infty \iff D_F \text{ has deficiency indices } (1,1). \tag{8.7}$$

Proof. See [JPT14, Jør81] for details. □

p.d. function	measure	condition (8.7)	indices
$e^{-\lvert x\rvert}$, $\lvert x\rvert < 1$	$\frac{d\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)}$	$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lvert\lambda\rvert^2 \frac{d\lambda}{\pi(1+\lambda^2)} = \infty$	$(1,1)$
$1-\lvert x\rvert$, $\lvert x\rvert < \frac{1}{2}$	$\left(\frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi\lambda}\right)^2 d\lambda$	$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lvert\lambda\rvert^2 \left(\frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi\lambda}\right)^2 d\lambda = \infty$	$(1,1)$
$F_4 = F_2 * F_2$	$\left(\frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi\lambda}\right)^4 d\lambda$	$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lvert\lambda\rvert^2 \left(\frac{\sin \pi\lambda}{\pi\lambda}\right)^4 d\lambda < \infty$	$(0,0)$

TABLE 8.1. Application of Theorem 8.15.

Acknowledgement. The co-authors thank the following for enlightening discussions: Professors Sergii Bezuglyi, Dorin Dutkay, Paul Muhly, Myung-Sin Song, Wayne Polyzou, Gestur Olafsson, Robert Niedzialomski, and members in the Math Physics seminar at the University of Iowa.

Appendix

orthogonal basis in $\mathscr{H}_F$	$\|\cdot\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}$	ONB
F_0	1	h_0
$F_a - F(a)F_0$	$1 - F^2(a)$	h_1
$F_{a/2} - \frac{F(a/2)}{1+F(a)}F_a - \frac{F(a/2)}{1+F(a)}F_0$	$\frac{1+F(a)-2F^2(a/2)}{1+F(a)}$	$h_{1,1}$
$F_{a/4} - \frac{F(a/4)}{1+F(a/2)}F_0 - \frac{F(a/4)}{1+F(a/2)}F_{a/2}$	$\frac{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)-2F^2\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}$	$h_{2,1}$
$F_{3a/4} - \frac{F(a/4)}{1+F(a/2)}F_{a/2} - \frac{F(a/4)}{1+F(a/2)}F_a$	$\frac{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)-2F^2\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)}$	$h_{2,3}$
$F_{a/8} - \frac{F(a/8)}{1+F(a/4)}F_0 - \frac{F(a/4)}{1+F(a/2)}F_{a/4}$	$\frac{1+F\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)-2F^2\left(\frac{a}{8}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)}$	$h_{3,1}$
$F_{3a/8} - \frac{F(a/8)}{1+F(a/4)}F_{a/4} - \frac{F(a/4)}{1+F(a/2)}F_{a/2}$	$\frac{1+F\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)-2F^2\left(\frac{a}{8}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)}$	$h_{3,3}$
$F_{5a/8} - \frac{F(a/8)}{1+F(a/4)}F_{a/2} - \frac{F(a/4)}{1+F(a/2)}F_{3a/4}$	$\frac{1+F\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)-2F^2\left(\frac{a}{8}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)}$	$h_{3,5}$
$F_{7a/8} - \frac{F(a/8)}{1+F(a/4)}F_{3a/4} - \frac{F(a/4)}{1+F(a/2)}F_a$	$\frac{1+F\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)-2F^2\left(\frac{a}{8}\right)}{1+F\left(\frac{a}{4}\right)}$	$h_{3,7}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	

TABLE 8.2. $F : (-a, a) \to \mathbb{R}$, continuous, p.d., and $F(0) = 1$.

orthogonal basis in $\mathscr{H}_F$	$\|\cdot\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}$	ONB
F_0	1	h_0
$F_{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2}F_0$	$\frac{3}{4}$	h_1
$F_{\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2}F_0 - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$h_{1,1}$
$F_{\frac{1}{8}} - \frac{1}{2}F_0 - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{4}}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$h_{2,1}$
$F_{\frac{3}{8}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$h_{2,3}$
$F_{\frac{1}{16}} - \frac{1}{2}F_0 - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{8}}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$h_{3,1}$
$F_{\frac{3}{16}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{8}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{4}}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$h_{3,3}$
$F_{\frac{5}{16}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{3}{8}}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$h_{3,5}$
$F_{\frac{7}{16}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{3}{8}} - \frac{1}{2}F_{\frac{1}{2}}$	$\frac{1}{16}$	$h_{3,7}$
$\vdots$		

TABLE 8.3. $F(x) = 1 - |x|$, $x \in \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$

orthogonal basis in $\mathscr{H}_F$	$\|\cdot\|^2_{\mathscr{H}_F}$	ONB
F_0	1	h_0
$F_1 - e^{-1}F_0$	$1 - e^{-2}$	h_1
$F_{1/2} - \frac{e^{-1/2}}{1+e^{-1}}F_0 - \frac{e^{-1/2}}{1+e^{-1}}F_1$	$\frac{1-e^{-1}}{1+e^{-1}}$	$h_{1,1}$
$F_{1/4} - \frac{e^{-1/4}}{1+e^{-1/2}}F_0 - \frac{e^{-1/4}}{1+e^{-1/2}}F_{1/2}$	$\frac{1-e^{-1/2}}{1+e^{-1/2}}$	$h_{2,1}$
$F_{3/4} - \frac{e^{-1/4}}{1+e^{-1/2}}F_{1/2} - \frac{e^{-1/4}}{1+e^{-1/2}}F_1$	$\frac{1-e^{-1/2}}{1+e^{-1/2}}$	$h_{2,3}$
$F_{1/8} - \frac{e^{-1/8}}{1+e^{-1/4}}F_0 - \frac{e^{-1/8}}{1+e^{-1/4}}F_{1/4}$	$\frac{1-e^{-1/4}}{1+e^{-1/4}}$	$h_{3,1}$
$F_{3/8} - \frac{e^{-1/8}}{1+e^{-1/4}}F_{1/4} - \frac{e^{-1/8}}{1+e^{-1/4}}F_{1/2}$	$\frac{1-e^{-1/4}}{1+e^{-1/4}}$	$h_{3,3}$
$F_{5/8} - \frac{e^{-1/8}}{1+e^{-1/4}}F_{1/2} - \frac{e^{-1/8}}{1+e^{-1/4}}F_{3/4}$	$\frac{1-e^{-1/4}}{1+e^{-1/4}}$	$h_{3,5}$
$F_{7/8} - \frac{e^{-1/8}}{1+e^{-1/4}}F_{3/4} - \frac{e^{-1/8}}{1+e^{-1/4}}F_1$	$\frac{1-e^{-1/4}}{1+e^{-1/4}}$	$h_{3,7}$
$\vdots$		

TABLE 8.4. $F(x) = e^{-|x|}$, $x \in (-1, 1)$,

References

[AD86] Daniel Alpay and Harry Dym, *On applications of reproducing kernel spaces to the Schur algorithm and rational J unitary factorization*, I. Schur methods in operator theory and signal processing, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., vol. 18, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1986, pp. 89–159. MR 902603 (89g:46051)

[ADK13] Akram Aldroubi, Jacqueline Davis, and Ilya Krishtal, *Dynamical sampling: time-space trade-off*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **34** (2013), no. 3, 495–503. MR 3027915

[AH13] Giles Auchmuty and Qi Han, *Spectral representations of solutions of linear elliptic equations on exterior regions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **398** (2013), no. 1, 1–10. MR 2984310

[AJ12] Daniel Alpay and Palle E. T. Jorgensen, *Stochastic processes induced by singular operators*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **33** (2012), no. 7-9, 708–735. MR 2966130

[AJSV13] Daniel Alpay, Palle Jorgensen, Ron Seager, and Dan Volok, *On discrete analytic functions: products, rational functions and reproducing kernels*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. **41** (2013), no. 1-2, 393–426. MR 3017129

[Akh65] N. I. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, Translated by N. Kemmer, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1965. MR 0184042 (32 #1518)

[Alp92] Daniel Alpay, *On linear combinations of positive functions, associated reproducing kernel spaces and a non-Hermitian Schur algorithm*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **58** (1992), no. 2, 174–182. MR 1143167 (92m:46039)

[Aro50] N. Aronszajn, *Theory of reproducing kernels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1950), 337–404. MR 0051437 (14,479c)

[Arv85] William Arveson, *Continuous nests and the absorption principle*, Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Buşteni, 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1132, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 17–29. MR 799560 (87g:47090)

[BO05] J. Banaś and D. O'Regan, *Volterra-Stieltjes integral operators*, Math. Comput. Modelling **41** (2005), no. 2-3, 335–344. MR 2124946 (2005k:47139)

[CJK+12] Jianxin Chen, Zhengfeng Ji, David Kribs, Zhaohui Wei, and Bei Zeng, *Ground-state spaces of frustration-free Hamiltonians*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), no. 10, 102201, 15. MR 3050570

[Dev59] Allen Devinatz, *On the extensions of positive definite functions*, Acta Math. **102** (1959), 109–134. MR 0109992 (22 #875)

[Dev72] ———, *The deficiency index of a certain class of ordinary self-adjoint differential operators*, Advances in Math. **8** (1972), 434–473. MR 0298102 (45 #7154)

[DM85] H. Dym and H.P. McKean, *Fourier series and integrals*, Probability and Mathematical Statistics, ACADEMIC PressINC, 1985.

[DS88] Nelson Dunford and Jacob T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part II*, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1988, Spectral theory. Selfadjoint operators in Hilbert space, With the assistance of William G. Bade and Robert G. Bartle, Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1009163 (90g:47001b)

[FM13] J. C. Ferreira and V. A. Menegatto, *Positive definiteness, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces and beyond*, Ann. Funct. Anal. **4** (2013), no. 1, 64–88. MR 3004212

[FR42] W. H. J. Fuchs and W. W. Rogosinski, *A note on Mercer's theorem*, J. London Math. Soc. **17** (1942), 204–210. MR 0008270 (4,272g)

[Fug74] Bent Fuglede, *Boundary minimum principles in potential theory*, Math. Ann. **210** (1974), 213–226. MR 0357827 (50 #10293b)

[Gau13] Frédéric Gaunard, *Divided differences and restriction operator on Paley-Wiener spaces PW^p_τ for N-Carleson sequences*, Collect. Math. **64** (2013), no. 2, 251–281. MR 3041766

[Itô06] Kiyoshi Itô, *Essentials of stochastic processes*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 231, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006, Translated from the 1957 Japanese original by Yuji Ito. MR 2239081 (2007i:60001)

[JÓ00] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Gestur Ólafsson, *Unitary representations and Osterwalder-Schrader duality*, The mathematical legacy of Harish-Chandra (Baltimore, MD, 1998), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 68, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000, pp. 333–401. MR 1767902 (2001f:22036)

[Jør77] Palle E. T. Jørgensen, *Approximately invariant subspaces for unbounded linear operators. II*, Math. Ann. **227** (1977), no. 2, 177–182. MR 0438178 (55 #11097)

[Jør81] ———, *A uniqueness theorem for the Heisenberg-Weyl commutation relations with nonselfadjoint position operator*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 2, 273–287. MR 610477 (82g:81033)

[Jor90] Palle E. T. Jorgensen, *Extensions of positive definite integral kernels on the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. **92** (1990), no. 2, 474–508. MR 1069255 (91m:22013)

[Jor91] ———, *Integral representations for locally defined positive definite functions on Lie groups*, Internat. J. Math. **2** (1991), no. 3, 257–286. MR 1104120 (92h:43017)

[JP12] P. E. T. Jorgensen and A. M. Paolucci, *q-frames and Bessel functions*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **33** (2012), no. 7-9, 1063–1069. MR 2966144

[JP13] Palle E. T. Jorgensen and Erin P. J. Pearse, *A discrete Gauss-Green identity for unbounded Laplace operators, and the transience of random walks*, Israel J. Math. **196** (2013), no. 1, 113–160. MR 3096586

[JPT14] Palle Jorgensen, Steen Pedersen, and Feng Tian, *Harmonic analysis of a class of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces arising from groups*, ArXiv e-prints (2014).

[KL14] Mark G. Krein and Heinz Langer, *Continuation of Hermitian Positive Definite Functions and Related Questions*, Integral Equations Operator Theory **78** (2014), no. 1, 1–69. MR 3147401

[LP89] Peter D. Lax and Ralph S. Phillips, *Scattering theory*, second ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 26, Academic Press Inc., Boston, MA, 1989, With appendices by Cathleen S. Morawetz and Georg Schmidt. MR 1037774 (90k:35005)

[MMJ12] Martin Mittelbach, Bho Matthiesen, and Eduard A. Jorswieck, *Sampling uniformly from the set of positive definite matrices with trace constraint*, IEEE Trans. Signal Process. **60** (2012), no. 5, 2167–2179. MR 2954200

[MU03] Erik Meijering and Michael Unser, *A note on the cubic convolution interpolation*, IEEE Trans. Image Process. **12** (2003), no. 4, 477–479. MR 1982925 (2004d:41004)

[Nus75] A. Edward Nussbaum, *Extension of positive definite functions and representation of functions in terms of spherical functions in symmetric spaces of noncompact type of rank* 1, Math. Ann. **215** (1975), 97–116. MR 0385473 (52 #6334)

[OH13] Anatol Odzijewicz and Maciej Horowski, *Positive kernels and quantization*, J. Geom. Phys. **63** (2013), 80–98. MR 2996399

[Ørs79] Bent Ørsted, *Induced representations and a new proof of the imprimitivity theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. **31** (1979), no. 3, 355–359. MR 531137 (80d:22007)

[Rud70] Walter Rudin, *An extension theorem for positive-definite functions*, Duke Math. J. **37** (1970), 49–53. MR 0254514 (40 #7722)

[Sla03] D. A. Slavnov, *Quantum measurements and Kolmogorov's probability theory*, Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **136** (2003), no. 3, 436–443. MR 2025366 (2004m:81046)

[SZ05] Steve Smale and Ding-Xuan Zhou, *Shannon sampling. II. Connections to learning theory*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. **19** (2005), no. 3, 285–302. MR 2186447 (2006i:94024)

[SZ07] ———, *Learning theory estimates via integral operators and their approximations*, Constr. Approx. **26** (2007), no. 2, 153–172. MR 2327597 (2009b:68184)

[SZ09] ———, *Geometry on probability spaces*, Constr. Approx. **30** (2009), no. 3, 311–323. MR 2558684 (2011c:60006)

(Palle E.T. Jorgensen) Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242-1419, U.S.A.

E-mail address: palle-jorgensen@uiowa.edu

URL: http://www.math.uiowa.edu/~jorgen/

(Feng Tian) Department of Mathematics, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, U.S.A.

E-mail address: feng.tian@wright.edu

URL: http://www.wright.edu/~feng.tian/